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### Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9PP</td>
<td>Nine Point Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU</td>
<td>African Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBBEE</td>
<td>Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGE</td>
<td>Commission for Gender Equality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>Corporate Social Investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSO</td>
<td>Civil society organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOW</td>
<td>Department of Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPME</td>
<td>Department of Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPSA</td>
<td>Department of Public Service and Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESEID</td>
<td>Economic Sectors, Employment and Infrastructure Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEWE</td>
<td>Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRB</td>
<td>Gender Responsive Budgeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRPB</td>
<td>Gender Responsive Planning and Budgeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRPBMEA</td>
<td>Gender Responsive Planning, Budgeting, Monitoring and Evaluation and Auditing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT</td>
<td>Information and Communication Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTEF</td>
<td>Medium Term Expenditure Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDP</td>
<td>National Development Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NES</td>
<td>National Evaluation System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPC</td>
<td>National Planning Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>National Treasury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PME</td>
<td>Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPPFA</td>
<td>Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SADC</td>
<td>Southern African Development Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAHRC</td>
<td>South African Human Rights Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEFA</td>
<td>Small Enterprise Finance Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMME</td>
<td>Small Medium and Macro Enterprises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>State-Owned Companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StatsSA</td>
<td>Statistics South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEE</td>
<td>Social Transformation and Economic Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Full Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>Theory of Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN Women</td>
<td>United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and Empowerment of Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBI</td>
<td>Women’s Budget Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEF</td>
<td>Women Empowerment Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEGE</td>
<td>Women empowerment and gender equality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Background and introduction

Persistence of gender inequality

Despite notable advances in gender equality and women’s empowerment since the inception of democracy in South Africa, the majority of women and girls still suffer from multi-dimensional poverty, inequality and discrimination on the basis of gender. This is compounded by multiple deprivations and deep-seated social problems such as gender-based violence.

While women’s representation in political organisations, public service employment and institutions such as parliament has improved considerably since 1994, women continue to face political, social and economic exclusion. The Commission for Employment Equity (2018) reports that, while women constitute 46,6% of professionally qualified employees within designated employers, only 22,9% of top management are women. In government 32,6% of top management are women, while in the private sector, just 21,6% are women (CEE, 2018).

The country’s triple challenge of poverty, inequality and unemployment has a disproportionate impact on women and serves to further entrench gender inequality and women’s powerlessness. Close to 42% of females live below the lower-bound poverty line, compared to 38% of males (Stats SA 2015).

While women in general face gender-based discrimination, it should be noted that women are not a homogenous group and that women’s inequality and deprivation is compounded by race, class, spatial location and other dimensions. Young, African women are worst affected by poverty and unemployment.

Women’s exclusion from the mainstream economy and lack of access to economic opportunities is further underpinned by *inter alia*:

- Patriarchy and unequal gender relations;
- A legacy of racial oppression and marginalisation;
- Unequal access to, ownership and control of the economy and productive resources, including land; and
- Women’s unequal burden of unpaid care work.

Paradigm shift

Gender-responsive planning, budgeting, monitoring, evaluation and auditing (GRPMBEA)\(^1\) is an imperative in achieving the country’s constitutional vision of a non-sexist society. It is aimed at ensuring better outcomes for women and girls and more tangible gender impacts in South Africa. Investing in women’s empowerment and reducing the gender gap is an important driver of inclusive economic growth and development and will benefit both women and men, boys and girls.

Gender-responsive budgeting as an important component of GRPMBEA and aims to bring gender mainstreaming to public finances, which eventually results in gender responsive budgets. Gender responsive budgets are not separate budgets for women but are general budgets that are planned, approved, executed, monitored and audited in a gender responsive way. The primary objective of gender responsive budgeting is to ensure that resources are raised and spent to eliminate gender disparities.

---

\(^1\) The acronym GRPB will be used interchangeably with GRPMBEA.
Research undertaken by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank has clearly shown that a reduction in gender inequality is also beneficial for economic growth. This research provides strong evidence that inequality between women and men is costing the world billions a year in lost economic growth. The IMF suggests that countries should use their annual budgets to ensure that public money is spent to reduce the gender gap. The World Bank argues that empowering female entrepreneurs has the potential to create jobs, increase incomes, lift millions out of poverty, and lead to greater economic and social transformation (2014).

GRPBM is therefore a critical strategy in harnessing the gender dividend and ensuring a paradigm shift towards gender mainstreaming across the state machinery and taking forward the country’s efforts:

- To achieve our Constitutional vision of non-sexist society and gender equality;
- To ensure women’s empowerment and gender equality are at the centre of public policy priorities, results-based planning and budgeting and accountability;
- To ensure allocation of adequate resources for women’s empowerment and gender equality linked to broader public finance reforms;
- To enhance the country’s overall levels of inclusive growth, development and the broader political and socio-economic transformation agenda.

Background

South Africa was previously seen as leading globally on Gender Responsive Budgeting (GRB) initiatives and provided support to a number of African countries in developing their GRB systems. Over 100 countries globally have or are currently implementing GRB initiatives (UNWomen 2015), across all regions of the world including countries in Africa, such as Uganda and Rwanda, as well as BRICS countries such as China and India.2

While past South Africa attempts have tended to focus on GRB, it is widely recognized that GRB is less effective in the absence of gender-responsive planning as well as monitoring, evaluation and auditing components of the overall evidence-based policy and results-based performance management cycle.

This Framework on GRPBMEA aims to ensure a more sustainable, comprehensive and multi-sectoral approach to gender mainstreaming within the country’s planning, monitoring and evaluation and public financing systems. It therefore focuses on closing the gap between plans and budgets through an overall approach of

---

2 For more information on country experiences in implementing GRB, see http://gender-financing.unwomen.org/en.
mainstreaming gender through the planning, budgeting, monitoring, evaluation and audit cycle.

The Framework was developed in 2018 by the Department of Women, which was subsequently integrated into the Department of Women, Youth and Persons with Disabilities in 2019. The development process included close collaboration with key government partners, including the Department of Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation (DPME) and National Treasury. It has also been enhanced through extensive consultations undertaken within government and with non-governmental and civil society stakeholders. These included the following:

- Presentations on gender-responsive planning and budgeting with a wide range of civil society stakeholders in May 2018.
- Engagements with National Treasury, DPME and other government departments including Statistics SA, the Department of International Relations and Cooperation, DPME, the Department of Public Service and Administration (DPSA) and the Department of Higher Education and Training as well as the Commission on Gender Equality (CGE) as part of the High-Level Steering Committee on Gender-Responsive Policy, Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation from June 2018 to November 2018.
- Deliberations on the Framework took place during a Women’s Dialogue with a range of stakeholders that included researchers, intellectuals, academics, young women in tertiary institutions and others in August 2018.
- Proposals on making the National Evaluation System more gender-responsive were made at a national evaluation workshop attended by national and provincial government evaluation officials on 21 and 22 September 2019.
- A presentation was made and detailed deliberations took place at the DPME National Planning Monitoring and Evaluation Forum in October 2018, attended by delegates from national, provincial and local government and non-state sector representatives.
- The proposed GRPBMEA framework was presented and discussed at one of the commissions of the Presidential Summit on Gender-Based Violence and Femicide on 1 and 2 November 2018.
- The Gender-Responsive Planning, Budgeting, Monitoring, Evaluation and Auditing Summit, 29 and 30 November 2018 where a declaration (attached as annexure A) was adopted.

The Framework was tabled for consideration in the government clusters and Cabinet Cluster systems. It was adopted by Cabinet on 27 March 2019 for implementation.
2. Mandate of the Department

The DOW was responsible for leading and coordinating the fulfillment of South Africa’s mandate to realise gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls and their full and equal enjoyment of all human rights and fundamental freedoms. This mandate derives from multiple global normative frameworks: the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) (1979); the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action (1995); Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) Agenda 2030, and is aligned with regional and national instruments, including: the African Union (AU) Agenda 2063, the AU Gender Strategy (2018), the Protocol to the African Charter on Human and People’s Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa (2003), the Solemn Declaration on Gender Equality in Africa (2004) and other relevant instruments; Southern African Development Community (SADC) Protocol on Gender and Development; National Development Plan, 2030; National Policy Framework for Women’s Empowerment and Gender Equality. This mandate was subsequently subsumed within the new DWYPD which was initiated in June 2019.

The DPME has overall responsibility for ensuring government delivery on development objectives. The DPME and DOW/ DWYPD are both located within the Presidency at the centre of government. This provides an opportunity to collaborate on gender responsive planning, monitoring and evaluation and auditing to improve performance and outcomes on gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls. Other centre of government, entities and Chapter 9 institutions have key roles to play in GRPB particularly the National Treasury, Commission on Gender Equality (CGE), Department of Public Services and Administration (DPSA) and Statistics SA. Overall, all government departments, public entities, provinces and municipalities have a mandate to deliver on the Constitutional vision to build a non-sexist society and ensure gender equality and women’s empowerment (See Figure 1).

3. The need for GRPBMEA

3.1 High-level problem statement

South Africa faces development challenges, critical inequalities and social problems which have a negative impact on the lives of women and girls. The high-level problem statement which gives rise to the need for GRPBMEA is discussed at two levels: (i) gender inequality and the exclusion and subordination of women at a political, economic and social level; (ii) weaknesses in the institutionalisation of gender mainstreaming across the state machinery, which prevent state actors from addressing these problems.
3.1.1 Political, economic and social exclusion

Women’s participation, representation, voice and agency at all levels of society has improved since the inception of democracy. However, the prevalence of patriarchal norms, compounded by women’s economic and social exclusion, means that women remain subordinate and under-represented in many spheres of social life, including those related to decision-making at a political and governance level, as well as within important social institutions such as faith-based organisations. The country’s legislative framework and justice system continues to disadvantage most women, particularly those from vulnerable sectors. Women and girls continue to suffer from harmful practices and discrimination in relation to inheritance rights.

The majority of women are more adversely affected by unemployment, income inequality and unequal pay compared to their male counterparts. In 2018, the female labour force participation rate was at 52.9% compared to 65.5% for men. They often lack access to quality skills development and tertiary education and are generally confined to the lower-paid and vulnerable sectors of the economy. In addition, women bear a disproportionate burden of unpaid care work, which further constrains their participation in the broader economy. Women and girls continue to suffer from harmful practices and discrimination in relation to inheritance rights. They have been excluded from ownership and control of the economy and access to productive resources, including land. The November 2017 Land Audit Report showed that women own just 17% of land compared to 46% owned by men.

Additionally, women, particularly women in rural areas and in poor urban and informal settlements, face inadequate access to basic services such as water, sanitation, energy and transport. Women are often worst affected by a lack of access to decent education (from primary to tertiary education), quality health care, public safety and information and communications technology. The prevalence of gender-based violence is perpetuated by patriarchal norms and practices, a legacy of structural violence and weaknesses in the criminal justice system. Together these factors compound women’s subordination.

3.1.2 Weak institutionalisation of gender mainstreaming across the state machinery

Within the state machinery, among the most important factors of relevance to the high-level problem statement relating to GRPBMEA are the following:

- Following advances in first phase of democracy in gender policy, planning and budgeting (GRPB), more recently, South Africa has experienced regression in relation to gender mainstreaming. There are numerous examples of this and

---

3 The 25-year review of Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment in South Africa (DWYPD, 2019) provides detailed data in this regard.
is particularly evident in the lack of gender targets within government’s planning and monitoring systems and the weakness of the National Gender Machinery.

- Despite policies, commitments and obligations, there is limited evidence of gender mainstreaming in practice across the democratic state. Gender equality and women’s empowerment is often an after-thought or relegated to a sector or specific outcome rather than being seen as an integral component across all sectors, outcomes and spheres of government and the state as a whole. An example of this is the Budget Prioritisation Framework (Mandate Paper) released in 2018, which is virtually gender blind.

- Many national, sectoral, provincial and local policies, plans, budgets and practices are gender blind or even gender negative, resulting in negative outcomes that aggravate or reinforce existing gender inequality and norms.

- There is generally a lack of explicit gender-responsive indicators within national development planning as well as planning and monitoring instruments at sectoral, provincial and institutional levels. An analysis of the 2014-2019 Medium Term Strategic Framework (MTSF) showed that only 7% explicitly mention gender, 65% were gender silent and 28% could be gender disaggregated but made no reference to gender (see figure 1 below).

- There is poor accountability for performance, outcomes and results relating to gender equality and women’s empowerment performance across the state sector, including public entities, which are responsible for a significant proportion of public expenditure in the country.

- A number of important gender equality and women’s empowerment policies and systems are outdated, with a lack of implementation and monitoring of implementation. For example, South Africa’s National Policy Framework for Women’s Empowerment and Gender Equality, dates back to 2000. While the Department of Women has made a number of important interventions over the past year, there is limited evidence of the monitoring of gender programmes within national monitoring and reporting systems or by parliamentary oversight committees. Oversight on women’s empowerment and gender equality tends to be limited to the Portfolio Committee on Women rather than as a transversal responsibility of all committees.

- There is a lack of coherent policies, programmes, systems and procedures to promote gender-responsive policy, research, planning, budgeting, monitoring, evaluation and auditing in South Africa.
• While the DPME introduced the Budget Prioritisation Framework (Mandate Paper) in an effort to ensure that budget allocations are informed by government’s policy priorities, the mandate paper is silent on gender priorities. This effectively translates into a perpetuation of the status quo or regression with regard to women’s empowerment and gender inequality.

• While various initiatives exist to drive the gender agenda, including with regard to gender-responsive planning, budgeting and policy, these are often fragmented and lack coherence and broad-based political support.

• There is little evidence of gender-responsive budgeting, gender-sensitive resource allocation or efforts to ensure that adequate resources are allocated towards programmes aimed at ensuring gender equality or that women benefit equitably from delivery.

• Despite efforts by Statistics SA, gender statistics and performance data remains inadequate, with uneven sex-disaggregated data at impact, outcome and programme performance level. This makes it difficult to make evidence-informed assessments on the effect of interventions and measures intended to advance gender equality and women’s empowerment.

• There are various pockets of knowledge and evidence production on gender across the state and non-state sector but these are often inaccessible and no single gender evidence repository exists.

• There are limited evidence-based national and sectoral evaluations relevant to gender equality and women’s empowerment to inform interventions, policy and programming.

• There is an under-resourcing of the National Gender Machinery in general. This includes a mismatch between the resources of DOW and its government-wide mandate, especially when compared to other centre of government departments such as DPME, DPSA, National Treasury and Stats SA. This lack of human and financial resources constrains the role of DOW in carrying out its government-wide mandate in relation to gender planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation and driving the gender agenda more broadly.

• There is a lack of institutional mechanisms for the coordination of GRPBMEA across government and across spheres of government.

• While inroads are being made, there is insufficient high-level buy-in on the importance of GRBPMEA, including at the level of critical role-players such as cabinet, the Minister of Finance and parliament.
3.2 Policy and legislative context and commitments

This section provides an overview of the policy and legislative commitments relating to gender mainstreaming and the related components of gender-responsive budgeting and planning, at a global (United Nations), continental (African Union), regional (Southern African Development Community) and national level.

3.2.1 Global and Regional Commitments

Commitments on financing for gender equality and the empowerment of women have been made by governments at an international level, including at the Fourth World Conference on Women (1995), the twenty-third special session of the General
Assembly (2000), and more recently as part of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and the Third International Conference on Financing for Development (FfD). These have often been linked to the need for gender responsive policy, planning as well as monitoring and evaluation. Global and regional commitments to financing gender equality are presented in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Global and regional normative agreements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normative Framework</th>
<th>Main commitments re: financing gender equality and/or gender mainstreaming</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CEDAW (1979)</strong></td>
<td>CEDAW addressed financing in its general recommendations on “Effective national machinery and publicity” (No. 6), “Women and health” (No. 24) and “Political and public life” (No. 23). The Committee encouraged state parties to provide adequate resources to national machineries for the advancement of women to enable them to work effectively for the promotion of gender equality and the enjoyment of women's rights. It encouraged political parties to provide financial resources to overcome obstacles to women's full participation and representation. In a number of recommendations, the Committee calls on State parties to allocate necessary resources to undertake appropriate measures to improve women's health, to increase educational opportunities for girls, to combat all forms of violence against women and to improve the situation of rural women. The Committee called on State parties to monitor the effects of macro-economic policies, including trade agreements, on women, to ensure that all national development policies, plans and programmes explicitly promote women's equality and empowerment, and to seek innovative sources of funding and assistance for the promotion of gender equality, including in partnership with the private sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beijing Declaration and its Platform for Action (1995) &amp; Corresponding Reviews (2000; 2005; 2010; 2015; 2020 (forthcoming))</strong></td>
<td>The principle of financing for gender equality is grounded in the Beijing Declaration and Platform of Action. It emphasizes that its full implementation demands “a political commitment to make available human and financial resources for the empowerment of women. This requires the integration of a gender perspective in budgetary decisions on policies and programmes, as well as the adequate financing of specific programmes for securing equality between women and men” (para 345). The Beijing Platform for Action calls for sufficient resources to be allocated to national machineries for the advancement of women as well as to all institutions, as appropriate, that can contribute to the implementation and monitoring of the Platform for Action. Governments are also called upon to create a supportive environment for the mobilization of resources by non-governmental organizations, particularly women's organizations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and networks, feminist groups, the private sector and other actors of civil society, to enable them to contribute towards this end.

The Beijing+5 Outcome document reiterated that Limited resources at the state level makes it imperative that innovative approaches to the allocation of existing resources be employed, not only by governments but also by non-governmental organizations and the private sector.” One such innovation is the gender analysis of public budgets, which emerged as an important tool to assess the impact of expenditures on women and men to help ensure the equitable use of existing resources. More recently, the Secretary General’s report on the twenty-year review of the Platform for Action (2015)\(^4\) identified underinvestment in gender equality and women’s empowerment as a major factor for slow and uneven progress in all 12 critical areas of concern. The upcoming Beijing +25 will provide additional reflections and evidence of the current state of financing gender equality.

### United Nations (UN) Commission on the Status of Women

At its 52nd session in 2008, the Commission on the Status of Women issued agreed conclusions on financing for gender equality and women’s empowerment. The UN Secretary-General’s report for the session defined financing for gender equality as the process of “ensuring adequate resource allocations to translate commitments on gender equality and women’s empowerment into action, including financing of critical stakeholders within national women’s mechanisms, and women’s organizations.” In 2012, the Commission reviewed implementation of the 2008 agreement and highlighted progress, as well as continuing challenges, in integrating gender in national budgets, development cooperation and the United Nations.

### International Conferences on Financing for Development

The Monterrey Consensus adopted at the International Conference on Financing for Development in March 2002 in Mexico, highlighted the importance of a holistic approach to financing for development, including gender-sensitive development, and encouraged the mainstreaming of a gender perspective into development policies at all levels and in all sectors. It stressed the critical need for reinforcing national efforts in building capacity for gender budget policies.

The Doha Declaration (2008) reiterated the commitments to financing gender equality, in particularly in paragraphs 4, 10, 11, 13, 19 and 41.

The Addis Ababa Action Agenda, adopted at the Third International Conference on Financing for Development (July 2015), recognizes the centrality of financing for gender equality and women’s empowerment to achieve sustainable and inclusive development. It identified a set of critical actions, including:

- To increase transparency and equal participation in the

---

\(^4\) E/CN.6/2015/3
budgeting process and promote gender responsive budgeting and tracking (para 30).
- To track and report resource allocations for gender equality and women’s empowerment.
- To reinforce national efforts in capacity-building in developing countries in such areas as public finance and administration, social and gender responsive budgeting (para 115).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Sustainable Development Goals</strong></th>
<th>In the UN General Assembly resolution Transforming our world: 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (A/RES/70/1), all countries agreed to “work for a significant increase in investments to close the gender gap and strengthen support for institutions in relation to gender equality and the empowerment of women at the global, regional and national levels. All forms of discrimination and violence against women and girls will be eliminated, including through the engagement of men and boys. The systematic mainstreaming of a gender perspective in the implementation of the Agenda is crucial.” (para 20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Continental & Regional** | **African Union Solemn Declaration on Gender Equality in Africa (2004)**
Member States of the AU are committed to report annually on progress on gender equality, in line with the Solemn Declaration on Gender Equality (2004).

The African Women's Protocol, as it is commonly known, reinforces the articles outlined in CEDAW and the principles underpinning the Beijing Platform for Action on the need for adequate and appropriate funding for achieving women's empowerment and gender equality in AU member states. Article 19 on the Right to Sustainable Development states that: "Women shall have the right to fully enjoy their right to sustainable development. In this connection, the States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to introduce the gender perspective in the national development planning procedures". |
### African Union Agenda 2063

Aspiration 6 entitled “[a]n Africa whose development is people-driven, relying on the potential offered by African people, especially its women and youth, and caring for children” identifies full gender equality in all spheres of life as the critical factor and women and girls empowerment as a priority. Aspiration 7 identifies “Africa tak[ing] full responsibility for financing her development” as a critical factor and that the priority must be “fiscal systems and public financing”.

### The African Union Strategy for Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment (2017-2027)

Adopted in May 2018, the Strategy states that at the national level, governments are expected to ensure the advancement of women is vested in the highest possible level of government and ensure that there are sufficient resources in terms of budgets and professional capacity. It describes Gender Responsive Budgeting as a process of conceiving, planning, approving, executing, monitoring, analysing and auditing budgets in a gender-responsive way. This involves analysis of actual government expenditure and revenue on women and girls as compared to expenditure on men and boys. One of the enabling principles identified in the AU strategy is that of “Accelerating financing”. Pillar 3 of the strategy refers to gender-responsive governance, which involves taking deliberate measures to transform institutions through gender governance systems including gender responsive budgeting. In the subsection on Budgets and Finance Arrangements, the strategy provides for gender audits of budgets to determine the extent of gender-responsive budgeting.

### SADC Protocol on Gender and Development, as amended in 2016

Explicitly calls for gender responsive budgeting. Article 15 on Economic Policies and Decision Making in the Protocol, section 2 calls on State Parties to: “…ensure gender sensitive and responsive budgeting at the micro and macro levels, including tracking, monitoring and evaluation.”

### 3.2.1 National policy commitments

#### The Women’s Charter of 1954

One of the earliest documents setting out a vision of a non-sexist society in South Africa was the Women’s Charter, which was adopted in 1954. The preamble to the Women’s Charter states: “We the women of South Africa, wives and mothers, working women and housewives, African, Indians, European and Coloured, hereby declare our aim of striving for the removal of all laws, regulations, conventions and customs that discriminate against us as women, and that deprive us in any way of our inherent right to the advantages, responsibilities and opportunities that society offers to any one section of the population”.

---
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The Women’s Charter for Effective Equality, 1994

The 1954 Women’s Charter was followed by the 1994 Women’s Charter for Effective Equality. Developed by a coalition of women at the dawn of democracy in South Africa, it staked women’s claim to equality in a democratic South Africa.

The preamble to the 1994 charter states: “As women, citizens of South Africa, we are here to claim our rights. We want recognition and respect for the work we do in the home, in the workplace and in the community. We claim full and equal participation in the creation of a non-sexist, non-racist democratic society…. Recognising our shared oppression, women are committed to seizing this historic moment to ensure effective equality in a new South Africa… As women we have come together in a coalition of organisations and engaged in a campaign that has enabled women to draw on their experience and define what changes are needed within the new political, legal, economic and social system….”

In Article 1: Equality, the Charter states: “Equality underlies all our claims in this Charter. We recognise that the achievement of social, economic, political and legal equality is indivisible. Our struggle for equality involves the recognition of the disadvantage that women suffer in all spheres of our lives. As a result similar treatment of women and men may not result in true equality. Therefore the promotion of true equality will sometimes require distinctions to be made… The principle of equality shall be embodied at all levels in legislation and government policy. Specific legislation shall be introduced to ensure the practical realisation of equality. The state shall establish appropriate institutions to ensure the effective protection and promotion of equality for women. These institutions shall be accessible to all women in South Africa.


Section 9(2) of the Bill of Rights in the Constitution guarantees the full and equal enjoyment of all rights and freedoms by people of all genders. It furthermore provides that legislative and other measures designed to protect or advance persons or categories of persons disadvantaged by unfair discrimination, may be taken to promote the achievement of equality.

Section 9(3) states that “the state may not unfairly discriminate directly or indirectly against anyone on one or more grounds, including race, gender, sex, pregnancy, marital status, ethnic or social origin, colour, sexual orientation, age, disability, religion, conscience, belief, culture, language and birth”; and section 10 states that “everyone has inherent dignity and the right to have their dignity respected and protected”.

17
National Development Plan 2030

The National Development Plan (NDP) 2030 acknowledges that women make up a larger percentage of the poor, particularly in rural areas. The plan also takes gender along with race and geographical location into account, proposing a range of measures to advance women’s equality. In line with this, the NDP proposed recommendations that focus on women’s empowerment as follows:

- Public employment should be expanded to provide work for the unemployed, with a specific focus on women and youth;
- Transformation of the economy should involve the active participation and empowerment of women;
- The role of women as leaders in all sectors of society should be actively supported;
- Social, cultural, religious and educational barriers to women entering the job market should be addressed. Concrete measures should be put in place and the results should be evaluated over time. Access to safe drinking water, electricity and quality early childhood education, for example, could free women from doing the unpaid work and help them seek for jobs;
- By 2030, people living in South Africa should have no fear of crime. Women, children and those who are vulnerable should feel protected;
- Security of tenure should be created for communal farmers, especially women.
- The DOH should design and pilot a nutritional intervention programme for pregnant women and young children;
- Coverage of the ARV to all HIV positive persons requiring such drugs should be expanded, alongside treatment of high risk HIV negative persons. Effective microbicides should be offered routinely to all women 16 years and older.


This Gender Policy Framework attempts to ensure that the process of achieving gender equality is at the very center of the transformation process in South Africa within all the structures, institutions, policies, procedures, practices and programmes of government, its agencies and parastatals, civil society and the private sector.

In subsection 1.4.1 “Challenges Facing South Africa” in the Policy Framework, it is stated that: “South Africa is faced with many challenges. To achieve a society free of racism and sexism the country must undergo a paradigm shift with regard to how resources are allocated and how people relate to each other. The challenges facing South Africa have been translated into national priorities. All of these priorities have compelling gender dimensions which need to be addressed if the country is to advance towards gender equality.”
The Policy Framework goes on to add that “the national machinery must not only aim but also show progression towards the... allocation of resources for the benefit of women in rural and urban areas and mechanisms ensuring that these resources reach them.” In addition, the Policy Framework in Section 1.8 on “Resource Allocation” states that “[w]hile South Africa has designed a most comprehensive National Machinery, it must also support it to ensure that it achieves its optimal potential. In this light, the Gender Policy Framework encourages serious consideration and application of the following premises: (i) The allocation of resources by various executive and legislative structures is crucial to ensuring that the policy on gender equality is implemented; (ii) Co-ordination, capacity building, communication, networking and collaboration towards the implementation of the Gender Policy Framework require various types of resources including information technology and personnel; (iii) Regular technical advisory services need to be made available to the national, provincial and local structures for the mainstreaming of gender equality; and (iv) Adequate staffing, institutional infrastructure, monitoring and evaluation, disseminating information, maintaining active partnerships and capacity building all require strategic interventions to enable the machinery to work effectively towards the goal of women’s empowerment and gender equality. Institutional support mechanisms will have to be put in place in order to assist in realising these strategic goals. The need to proceed with proper resourcing of the national machinery is affirmed by the findings of the National Audit.”

**Public Sector 8 Principle Plan for Heads of Departments on Women’s Empowerment and Gender Equality (DPSA, 2007)**

The HOD Principles intervention was endorsed by Cabinet and implemented by the Minister of Public Service and Administration and made part of the Key Performance Indicators (KPI) of Accounting Officers in National and Provincial Departments. Principle 7 refers to “providing adequate resources” to advance women in society in general, and not just as public servants. This meant that GRB was expected to be taking place within each department in the public service.

**3.3 Previous GRPB initiatives in South Africa and key lessons**

South Africa initiated a number of Gender-Responsive Budgeting initiatives after the democratic breakthrough in 1994 and was seen as leading on the continent and even globally in developing and implementing GRB initiatives. While many of these subsequently collapsed, there are important lessons to learn from these experiences.

---

5 Most of the information in this section is drawn from the paper produced by UN Women on South Africa’s Experience in Gender Responsive Budgeting (2012)
3.3.1 Women’s Budget Initiative

Among the best-known initiatives was the Women’s Budget Initiative (WBI) which started in 1995, in the early years of the post-apartheid government, and was driven by research-oriented non-governmental organisations and the Joint Standing Committee on Finance in the national parliament. The overall aim was to ensure that “the demands of the anti-apartheid struggle for race and gender equality would be reflected in the policies and programmes and related budgets of the post-apartheid Government. In its first three years the initiative produced in-depth analysis of the budgets of all departments of the national sphere of Government, as well as analysis of several related issues. The Women’s Budget Initiative attracted widespread attention both within South Africa and beyond. It helped put GRB on the local and international agenda. It also played a part in revision of some policies and related budgets. At a practical level it helped develop a framework for GRB analysis that was subsequently used in many other initiatives in South Africa and beyond” (UN Women 2012). The initiative continued in various forms, with the parliamentary Joint Monitoring Committee on the Improvement of the Quality of Life and Status of Women playing a role, but later disappeared. Parliamentary activities subsequently focused on workshops to reflect on the gender prioritisation of budgets.

3.3.2 Commonwealth Secretariat

While the WBI was linked to parliament, a GRB initiative supported by the Commonwealth Secretariat was located in the then Department of Finance (National Treasury). Apart from one consultant, the participants in the initiative were all civil servants. The overall aim was to “engender” government budget publications. This was done through inserts in the budget documents tabled on Budget Day which followed the style of the publications at that time. Inclusion of the “gender text” in these documents rather than production of a separate document was seen as a way of mainstreaming and highlighting gender issues for all readers. Despite the decision to build and use national capacity while drawing on international technical expertise, the initiative collapsed after the Commonwealth project ended, lasting for only two years – 1998 and 1999.

3.3.4 Provincial initiatives

Provincial initiatives in the Western Cape and Gauteng provinces involved government initiatives that required Government officials in line departments to account for how their policies, programmes and related budgets advanced gender equality.

The core of the activity was the production of gender budget statements. The hope was that this exercise, in addition to promoting accountability, would open the eyes of the officials concerned and encourage them to increase the gender-
responsiveness of their policies, programmes and budgets. In both provinces the legislatures played a role as key audiences for the information provided. For each province tailored reporting formats were developed that matched the style of the “mainstream” budget reports. The initiatives lasted for some years in both provinces and, at the least, succeeded in increasing the understanding of officials of what gender-responsiveness meant in relation to the programmes for which they were responsible.

The following initiatives were recorded:

- Western Cape Provincial Government introduced GRB in 2001 and again in 2007-2012;
- In Gauteng, the Office of the Premier initiated gender budgeting together with the provincial treasury in 2003 and 2004, linked to the introduction of a provincial gender policy;
- A further Gauteng gender-responsive planning and budgeting programme was undertaken in 2011-2016 and entailed gender-responsive planning and target setting linked to a requirement for provincial departments to demonstrate gender programmes and budgets; and

3.3.5 Departmental initiatives

In addition to the interventions referred to above, a number of individual departments have introduced GRB at various times. This includes the Department of Trade and Industry), the Department of Social Development (2008/2011), the Department of Land Affairs (2008), Department of Justice and Constitutional Development (2005).

Most of these initiatives were driven by individual champions at a political or administrative level, including gender focal points or gender units. However, as fragmented and isolated initiatives, most were not sustained and eventually petered out. The absence of directives by Cabinet, National Treasury, the Presidency and Parliament were seen as fatal gaps contributing to the lack of sustainability.

3.3.6 Key lessons from previous GRPB experiences

A number of lessons can be drawn from the previous GRPB experiences in South Africa. These include:

- The lack of sustainability of the initiatives was due to a lack of full buy-in at both a political and administrative level;
Individual stakeholders, champions and technical experts have a key role to play in kick-starting GRPB interventions but sustainability requires that the GRPB is embedded and institutionalized across multiple institutions and sectors of society. This includes the public administration, Parliamentary Committees, the CGE, other state institutions, political parties and civil society;

- The need for strong legal requirements for GRB integration into budgets support implementation and institutionalization across government;
- The importance of active participation of stakeholders inside and outside of government, specifically Parliaments, academia and civil society. While NGOs can play a support and watchdog role, the initiative must be driven by the executive and the administration;
- Multi-stakeholder engagement across government is critical. This requires leadership from the Ministry of Finance, strong coordination by the National Gender Machinery under the leadership of the Ministry responsible for Women and full integration of gender equality into sectoral plans and corresponding budgets.
- Success requires a combination of political support at the highest level as well as technical capacity across the administration and across spheres of government;
- Critical roles include:
  - The Minister in the Presidency responsible for Women, as the overall champion, supported by the DOW/ DWYPD.
  - The Minister of Finance and National Treasury to drive the GRB-specific components, including the provision of guidelines and instructions to integrate gender into budgets and monitoring line ministries budget compliance with guidelines;
  - DPME to facilitate gender responsiveness of PME systems together with DOW/DWYPD;
  - Parliament, which must play a critical oversight role in holding Executive Authorities and Accounting Officers accountable. While all Portfolio Committees should exercise this role, the Parliamentary Finance Committee and Women’s Committee will have key roles to play.
- While external expertise is of value, there is a need to avoid an excessive reliance on consultants which results in a collapse once the experts leave. This requires putting in place systems and procedures as well as skills transfer from consultants to public service officials;
- There is a need to build technical capacity across the system, including through ongoing and targeted capacity building and change management;
- There is a need for robust sex-disaggregated data which is used to inform plans and budgets;
Putting in place and enforcing accountability mechanisms is key, including through Cabinet, Parliament, the CGE and the Auditor General;

A comprehensive approach is required which ensures gender-responsiveness across the entire public policy cycle. This means not just budgeting but also policy, planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation and gender auditing.

Voluntary systems tend to lack teeth and sustainability. A voluntary approach may be of value in the early, inception phase. However, full implementation requires a mandatory approach, including possible legislative measures to enforce compliance. Consideration should also be given to other mechanisms to incentivize compliance.

3.4 Global experience

Over 100 countries globally have implemented various forms of Gender-Responsive Budgeting. An illustrative set of country experiences are listed below to demonstrate strategies employed globally to support implementation of gender responsive budgeting. These include legislative changes, budget directives, tracking systems and accountability mechanisms.

**Morocco** adopted a finance law in January 2014 which legally institutionalized gender equality throughout budget processes. It explicitly mentions that gender equality must be considered in the definition of objectives, results and indicators of performance of the line budgets. The organic law also institutionalizes the Gender Report as an official document that is part of the annual Finance Bill. Annually, Morocco produces a Gender Report that contains information on the work conducted by each sector disaggregated by gender (where data allows), which has become an important accountability and monitoring tool, advancing implementation of GRB from one year to the next.⁶

**Uganda**’s 2015 Public Finance Management Act stipulates a mandatory Gender and Equity Certificate which each government agency at national and district level must obtain for their annual budgets. The certificate assesses whether budgets have integrated gender equality, using a set of performance measures and minimum standards. Budgets that fall short must be revised to obtain the certificate and be approved by the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development. Among other benefits, the certificate allows legislators to systematically hold government agencies accountable for their record of support for gender equality and women’s empowerment.⁷

**Rwanda** has a budget call circular which requires all ministries and districts to produce gender budget statements for sub-programmes selected using four

---


prescribed criteria. The Rwanda experience demonstrates that gender budget statements can be part of the planning and prioritization process if strongly linked with instructions in the budget call and also serve as accountability documents.\(^8\)

**India** develops gender budget statements which report on budgetary allocations for programmes/schemes deemed to be benefiting women. The report is presented in two parts – the first showing schemes targeting 100 per cent at women, and the second showing “gender neutral programmes”, for which 30 per cent or more of beneficiaries are estimated to be women. The Gender Budget Statement has helped women activists and civil society organizations place the call for better funding and provisioning for women’s rights on a much stronger ground.\(^9\)

### 4. What approach should be adopted in South Africa today?

#### 4.1 Multi-disciplinary approach

South Africa’s global innovation in the field of GRB is the development of a holistic and comprehensive approach in the form of GRPBMEA. The overall approach to GRPBMEA in South Africa today arises from an integration of theories, concepts and practices from multiple disciplines and approaches to public policy, budgeting and programming. These include the following:

- Gender studies and gender mainstreaming;
- Evidence-based policy making and implementation;
- Public management and results-based performance management;
- Public Finance and performance-based budgeting.

The overall approach works on the core assumptions that the achievement of country gender outcomes and sustainable gender impacts requires the following:

- Setting of clear, government-wide gender-responsive policy priorities based on electoral and legislative mandates, multiple evidence sources, gender needs assessments and stakeholder inputs;
- The translation of policy priorities into programmes and interventions with measurable programme outcomes and gender-responsive indicators and targets;
- Performance frameworks of budget programmes integrate gender equality through the use of gender disaggregated indicators, by assessing budget and

\(^8\) Ibid.

policy plans against actual allocations, and monitoring progress towards increased gender responsiveness,\(^\text{10}\) and

- Ensuring the necessary **budget allocations** to achieve gender priorities as well as regular expenditure reviews/impact assessments/budget audits to assess the extent to which particular expenditures indeed resulted in the intended gender equality and women’s empowerment outcomes. This needs to take into account both fiscal constraints as well as the potential economic benefits of investing in women’s empowerment and gender equality.

The figure 2 below shows the sequencing of the translation of gender policy priorities into programmes, which are costed and form the basis for gender-responsive budgets.

*Figure 2: From gender policy priorities to gender-responsive budgets*

---

**4.2 Public policy cycle**

The overall approach to GRPBMEA must be located within the evidence-based public policy cycle and public financing systems. While there are multiple versions of what is known as the evidence-based public policy cycle within public policy literature, a synthesis of these identifies at least the following components:

- **Situational analysis**, identification and diagnostic of social problem/s and/or needs assessment;
- Political/ electoral and legislative **mandate** and stakeholder perspectives;
- **Policy prioritisation** and policy development based on exploration of policy options;
- Policy priorities to inform **programme design** and development based on programme theory;
- **Budgeting** and allocation of resources based on policy priorities and evidence on the impact of expenditure;
- **Implementation** and expenditure in line with programme design and resource allocation;
- Generation of **evidence**, including through monitoring, evaluation, research, performance and expenditure reviews and assessment of the extent to which

\(^\text{10}\) UN Women and DFID. 2015.
interventions are indeed resulting in intended outcomes and having a positive impact on the social problems and identified needs;
• Learning, adjustments, refinement and adaptation based on evidence.

An example of a typical public policy cycle is shown in the figure 3 below.

**Figure 3: Example of public policy cycle**

In the South African context, the overall approach to GRPBMEA is further informed by the DPME evidence-based policy management cycle which informs policy and programming, as reflected in figure 4 diagram below.
A synthesis of the principles and concepts relating to gender mainstreaming and gender responsiveness with the evidence-based policy cycle and results-based approach then leads to the development of an overall conceptual approach and theory of change which explains the manner in which GRPBMEA is expected to lead to better outcomes for women and girls, men and boys and greater levels of gender equality.

Key components and sequencing in this regard are the following:

- Gender situational analysis, diagnostic, needs assessment, consultation, prioritisation;
- Gender planning, development of theories of change/ programme theory, programme planning and design, development of gender-sensitive indicators, baselines, targets and budgets based on policy priorities and evidence;
- Implementation and expenditure in line with programme theory;
- Generation and analysis of gender-relevant data and performance information, implementation monitoring and reporting on gender-relevant outputs and outcomes;
- Programme and sector evaluations, including value for money assessments expenditure and performance reviews, analysis of good practice and what works and development of database;
• Learning, adaptive management and corrective action including improved programme design and implementation; and
• Improved performance, better outcomes and impacts for women and girls, men and boys and improved gender equality.

This overall approach is depicted in figure 5 diagram below.

Figure 5: Graphic depiction of gender-responsive planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation framework

4.3 Gender conceptualisation, impact and results

4.3.1 Gender as a social construct

The main goal of GRPBMEA is to achieve gender equality and the full realisation of the rights of women and girls, men and boys.

Gender concerns social relations between the sexes, including the power relations which determine gender relations and which sustain patriarchy. It refers to socially constructed roles, behaviours, attitudes, attributes and social norms which are normalised by society and which act as signifiers for men and women, boys and girls.
Gender and the attendant social roles assigned to men and women are buttressed by a strong ideological apparatus which underpins patriarchal relations and unequal gender relations. Patriarchy is not just about power relations dominated by men but also about systemic, institutional and ideological machinations that legitimate the subordination of women by men.

If the country is to achieve its goal to build a non-sexist society and achieve gender equality and women’s empowerment, systems of unequal gender relations and oppression against women have to be dismantled. This includes setting clear policy goals, ensuring deliberate strategic interventions at the macro and micro levels and the mobilization of strategic partnerships and active citizenry towards women’s empowerment and gender equality goals.

At the policy level, there is a need to ensure that gender-responsive legislative and policy instruments and commitments, including international, continental and regional conventions to which South Africa is a signatory, are effectively translated into appropriately designed programmes and budgets across government and public entities. This requires the development and implementation of government-wide gender-responsive planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation and auditing systems in order to achieve gender impacts and gender equality.

4.3.2 Institutionalisation of gender mainstreaming

GRPBM is directly linked to the institutionalisation of gender mainstreaming and the GRPBMEA approach therefore seeks to promote:

- Women’s empowerment and gender equality as not just a social sector issue but one that cuts across all sectors and desired outcomes, especially economic empowerment, political participation and representation at all levels;
- A comprehensive, integrated, sustainable and multi-institutional approach;
- Stronger institutional accountability to gender equality commitments and gender-responsive institutions and system of public administration;
- Gender-responsive policy and programmes at national, sectoral and local level;
- Gender-responsive institutions and systems of public administration; and
- Gender-responsive financing which is transparent and adequate.

A number of different terms are used to describe efforts to mainstream gender within policies, programmes and systems. These include gender-responsiveness, gender perspective, gender sensitivity and gender lens, with varying definitions.
4.3.3 Gender results and impact

While the overall goal of the GRPBMEA framework is to achieve better results for women and girls towards the goal of gender equality, the question arises as to how these will be assessed. At least two main perspectives will be adopted in this regard:

- Impact and outcome planning with measurement of performance against women’s empowerment and gender development indicators and targets. This will take into account gender indicators derived from multiple instruments at global, continental, regional and country level.
- The Gender Results Effectiveness Scale (GRES), which was developed by the UNDP (2015). The GRES spans a scale from gender negative to gender blind, gender targeted, gender responsive and finally, to gender transformative, as shown in the figure 6 below. The scale enables an assessment of the extent to which interventions are indeed contributing towards the transformation of gender power relations, which are seen as the root causes of gender inequality.

As discussed above, South Africa’s planning and monitoring tools, including the 2014-2019 MTSF, are largely gender blind. The challenge and vision for the GRPBMEA framework is to shift from gender blind instruments and interventions towards those which are gender targets, gender responsive and ultimately gender transformative.

Linked to this is a distinction between what is referred to as categorical versus transformative thinking. Categorical thinking refers to that which simply disaggregates interventions into men and women, often with a focus on women. Transformative thinking, on the other hand, refers to the transformation of gender relations more broadly.
4.4 Contextual considerations

In addition to the contextual factors referred to above as part of the problem statement, a number of critical factors within the implementation context should be taken into account in designing and implementing the GRPBMEA framework. These include the following:

- Unlike in the past when the GRB was introduced in the early post-apartheid years, the GRPBMEA framework was not to be introduced on a blank slate but in the context of existing government-wide policies, programmes, systems and procedures, particularly those relating to planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation.
- While DOW in the Presidency is the overall driver of GRPBMEA, its adoption and implementation is a government-wide responsibility, with key roles envisaged for the legislative arm of the state.
- Experience in South Africa and elsewhere has shown that the introduction of sustainable, effective system-wide changes in the public sector can take a minimum of five years to take root and be embedded into day-to-day practices.

Taking into account these and other factors, a pragmatic, multi-pronged strategy is required which focuses on the following:

- Mainstreaming gender within existing national PME systems;
Mainstreaming gender within existing budgeting systems, procedures and
performance-based budgeting initiatives;

- A country gender indicator framework linked to normative frameworks;
- Accessing multiple evidence and data sources including from within government,
civil society and academia;
- Both mainstreamed and targeted interventions and programmes relating to
gender equality and gender transformation; and
- Piloting in different contexts to test both the conceptual and implementation
theories behind the framework.

Further, the implementation strategy should be informed by the need for pragmatism
based on a range of factors, including contextual analysis, political priorities, available
resources and capacity and risk analysis etc.

The development and implementation of the GRPBM&E strategy should be unpacked in
short, medium and long-term phases as outlined in the implementation plan. This takes
into account the government term of office that ended in 2019 and that the next five-
year government term runs from 2019 to 2024 and to 2030 in line with the NDP 2030.

### 4.5 Gold Standard on GRPB

In developing and implementing its GRPB system, South Africa should take into
account global standards, with a specific focus on SDG 5.

SDG Indicator 5.c.1 measures the proportion of countries with systems to track and
make public allocations for gender equality and women’s empowerment. It assesses
progress towards Target 5c of the SDGs to “adopt and strengthen sound policies
and enforceable legislation for the promotion of gender equality and the
empowerment of all women and girls at all levels”. As such, it links the policy and
legal requirements for gender equality with resource allocations for their
implementation.

The indicator methodology was developed by UN-Women, together with UNDP and
the OECD and in consultation with 15 national government partners. It measures
three main components of a gender responsive public finance system:

- intent of a government to address gender equality by identifying whether
  policies, programmes and resources are in place;
- existence of mechanisms to track resource allocations towards these policy
  goals; and
- existence of mechanisms to make resource allocations publicly available to
  increase accountability to women.
These components should be taken into account in designing South Africa’s GRPB system.

4.6 Strategic objectives

Based on the above considerations, the main strategic objectives of the GRPBMEA framework can therefore be synthesised as follows:

- To serve as a catalyst to effect a system-wide paradigm shift towards gender mainstreaming across the state machinery;
- To ensure women’s empowerment and gender equality are at the centre of public policy priorities, results-based planning and budgeting and accountability;
- To ensure the allocation of adequate resources for women’s empowerment and gender equality linked to broader public finance reforms;
- To enhance the country’s overall levels of inclusive growth, development and the broader political and socio-economic transformation agenda; and
- To contribute to the achievement of our Constitutional vision of a non-sexist society and gender equality.

The overall strategy towards the development and implementation of the GRPBMEA focused on gender mainstreaming within the existing PME and budgeting systems in the short term, with a more fundamental review and system reform and redesign in the medium to long-term.

4.5.1 Short-term strategy

In the short-term (2018/19), the focus was on gender mainstreaming within existing government-wide planning, monitoring and evaluation systems and institutions for implementation with effect from 2019/20. This should prioritise the most impactful interventions with a focus on relatively simple changes that, if implemented, will achieve system-wide changes and big impacts. For example, if every government entity were to include both targeted and mainstreamed gender programmes, indicators and targets in their Strategic Plans and Annual Performance Plans, this would have a system-wide effect.

Such interventions may appear to be piecemeal. However, if they are deliberately and appropriately conceptualised and designed to achieve system-wide impact, then they will be advancing the gender transformation agenda. The focus should therefore be on micro-macro transformational mechanisms, where individual micro actions are able to generate macro-level outcomes.

Among the short-term interventions included improving the gender-responsiveness of
• Policy priorities, including the Mandate Paper;
• Planning instruments and legislation, including the Draft Integrated Planning Bill, the NDP Five-Year Implementation Plan and the MTSF 2019-2024;
• The Short to Medium Term Planning Framework as well as institutional plans for 2019-2024, particularly Strategic Plans and Annual Performance Plans;
• Monitoring and reporting systems, including assessments of gender performance within existing plans and reporting to the cabinet system
• Budgeting systems;
• The National Evaluation system; and
Other PME system components such as Frontline Service Delivery Monitoring (FSDM), Community Based Monitoring (CBM), Management Performance Assessment Tool (MPAT) and Phakisa.

4.5.2 Medium to long-term strategy (2019-2024-2030)

A more comprehensive strategy is required in the medium to long term. This covers the government term of office from 2019 to 2024 as well as the period to 2030 in line with the NDP.

This phase should focus on a more fundamental reconceptualisation, redesign, and implementation and institutionalisation, including the following components:

• A comprehensive, evidence-based diagnostic and gender audit of government. This should include a more thorough investigation of the extent to which key government entities are indeed gender responsive as well as the key enablers and obstacles to implementation;

• Comprehensive country-wide programme theory development and design;

• A more detailed exploration of implementation models and systems, with recommendations on the most appropriate model for South Africa;

• Further development of a plan for the institutionalisation of the model, including the appropriate mechanisms and resources and the identification of appropriate incentives and disincentives to engender behaviour change;

• Legislative review to amend existing legislation or legislative proposals or introduce new legislation;

• Clear assignment of roles and responsibilities including the DOW/DWYPD, DPME, Parliament, the CGE etc.

• Development of a detailed implementation plan, including change management and capacity development; and
• Development of a monitoring and evaluation plan.

A more detailed elaboration of the key activities envisaged across four different phases from 2018 to 2021 is provided in the table 2 below.

4.6 Gender indicator framework

The development of a Country Gender Indicator Framework (CGIF) forms a key component of the GRPBME framework. The CGIF should draw from a range of existing indicator frameworks at a global, continental, regional and national level, many of which were discussed above in the section on the policy and legislative context.

The indicator framework is based on an overall theory of change which positions programme outcomes as contributing towards gender outcomes and impacts at a country level. The latter should be aligned with the relevant gender indicators across the SDGs, the AU Gender Strategy, the African Gender Development Index, the SADC Gender and Development Protocol, the NDP and other relevant policy frameworks, as reflected in the figure 7 below. The programme performance indicators will be drawn from multiple sources, including sectoral policies and the NDP outcomes.

**Figure 7: Gender Indicator Framework pyramid**

![Diagram of Gender Indicator Framework pyramid]

*Note: The diagram has been updated in line with the seven priorities of the sixth administration*

For the sake of simplicity, the Gender Indicator Framework can be seen as consisting of two main domains, development indicators and programme performance indicators, as depicted in figure 8 below.
The development of the CGIF was being undertaken as a sub-project within the overall GRPBMEA initiative. The process is being led by the Department of Women in collaboration with DPME, Statistics South Africa, CGE and other government departments. The CGIF is being updated in the context of the sixth administration and its identified seven priority areas.

4.7 State-wide institutionalisation

Unlike in the past, when GRB initiatives were implemented in a fragmented manner and were unsustainable, it was essential that the current approach should have buy-in across the state machinery and the institutions of democracy, at both a political and administrative level.

The institutionalisation of GRPBMEA across the Executive, Parliament, legislation and Chapter 9 institutions should be seen as a critical success factor. At the level of the Executive, this requires the support and approval of the President and Cabinet; the Minister of Finance and National Treasury; the Minister in the Presidency responsible for Women; the Minister in the Presidency responsible for Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation and DPME, the National Planning Commission and indeed all government departments and public entities.

The implementation of GRPBMEA will not succeed unless it is institutionalised across the administration. This requires the development of incentives and disincentives to change behaviour and ensure that Accounting Officers and
managers across the government system regard gender mainstreaming in general and GRPBMEA as part of their core responsibilities and mandate. Further, this should not translate into assigning responsibility for GRPBMEA to gender focal points, but embedding it across all programmes and management responsibilities.

Parliament has a critical role to play in holding government accountable to the effective implementation of the GRPBMEA system. In this regard, it is desirable that the system is supported by all political parties in Parliament. Key players will be the Multiparty Women’s Caucus, the Portfolio Committee on Women and the Portfolio Committee on Finance. All Portfolio Committees should hold government entities to account in relation to the implementation of GRPBMEA, the achievement of better outcomes for women and girls and gender equality results.

Chapter 9 institutions such as the CGE, the Commission for the Promotion and Protection of the Rights of Culture, Religious and Linguistic Communities (CRL), South African Human Rights Commission SAHRC and the Auditor General also have a key role to play in ensuring the implementation of the system.

In addition to accountability mechanisms within the institutions of democracy, the sustainability of GRPBMEA requires the support of civil society, which should hold government accountable for its implementation and outcomes.

5. Implementation plan

The implementation plan outlines key phases from 2017/18 to 2020/21 for incremental development and implementation and also identifies specific interventions relating to different PME components within the short-term.

5.1 Key phases

The four main phases of the development of the GRPBMEA framework was aligned to government financial years and covering phase 1 in 2017/18, phase 2 in 2018/19, phase 3 in 2019/20 and phase 4 in 2020/21 as detailed below.

5.1.1 Phase One

The initial work undertaken by the DOW on GRB in 2017/18 entailed initial consultation processes with key government stakeholders and resulted in the development of a Draft GRB framework.

5.1.2 Phase Two

Subsequently, a decision was taken to broaden the focus from GRB to gender-responsive planning and the entire public policy cycle. The following key activities and outputs were planned for completion in 2018/19 and by the end of the sixth government term of administration:
• Gender-Responsive Planning, Budgeting, Monitoring, Evaluation and Auditing Framework finalized, approved and announced by the President;

• Existing government-wide PME policies, systems and procedures as well as public finance, budgeting and expenditure review systems engendered;

• Country Gender Indicator Framework developed;

• 25-year review on the status of women completed;

• Women’s Dialogues undertaken to ensure women’s voices are heard and as inputs on gender policy priorities;

• Gender policy priorities for 2020/21 and 2019-2024 are identified and form part of government Mandate Papers to inform annual budget prioritization;

• National School of Government (NSG) capacity building on GRPB implemented; and

• Free State GRB pilot completed.

5.2.3 Phase Three

Phase Three, in 2019/20, was envisaged as the period for implementing the reforms developed and adopted in 2018/19 as well as undertaking a more indepth review and design process to finalise the GRPBMEA model for South Africa. Among the proposed key activities and outputs in this regard are:

• Plans for the rollout of GRPBMEA are announced by the Sixth administration in 2019;

• Gender indicators are mainstreamed within all key government planning instruments, including the NDP Five-Year Implementation Plan, MTSF, Strategic Plans and APPs;

• The Mandate Paper includes gender priorities;

• Quarterly Gender Performance Reviews are undertaken and tabled in Cabinet system for consideration and corrective interventions;

• An indepth analysis of key government plans and policies is undertaken using the Gender Results Effectiveness Scale (GRES);

• Country GEWE Policy Priorities and Programme of Action for the period 2019-2024 are developed;

• The GRPBMEA model is finalized and implemented with piloting in selected national departments, provinces and Metros. This includes institutional mechanisms as well as change management, further systems development, guidelines, capacity building and training;

• A formative evaluation for the GRPBMEA system; and
Initiation of a legislative review.

5.2.4 Phase Four

Phase Four, from 2020/21 onwards, entails a full rollout of the GRPBMEA system as well as further institutionalisation and capacity building mechanisms. These include the following:

- Government wide rollout of GRPBMEA at a national level;
- Further development and implementation of institutionalisation mechanisms, systems development, capacity building and training;
- An evaluation of the implementation and early outcomes of GRPBMEA;
- Further refinement of the Quarterly Gender Performance Reviews and corrective interventions;
- Gender policy reviews across different sectors; and
- Tabling of legislative revisions.

5.2 Interventions completed in 2018

Given the imperative to implement GRPBMEA within existing national planning, monitoring and evaluation systems, a number of interventions were made in 2018, mostly in collaboration with DPME. These are summarised below.

5.2.1 Engendering the National Planning System

The following inputs have been made towards improving the gender-responsiveness of the National Planning System:

- Extensive inputs on the draft Integrated Planning Bill;
- Extensive inputs on the Framework for Short to Medium-Term Planning including on gender planning, monitoring and reporting;
- Inputs on monitoring of the NDP and the gender content of the Programme of Action;
- Gendered analysis of selected 2018/19 APPs in the economic cluster, which were incorporated as part of DPME’s feedback to Directors-General; and
- Inputs on the review of Outcome 14.

5.2.2 Engendering the National Evaluation System

The following inputs have been made towards improving the gender-responsiveness of the National Evaluation System (NES):
• Inputs on gender mainstreaming within the NES improvement plan including in relation to the National Evaluation Plan, Departmental Evaluation Plans and Provincial Evaluation Plans;
• As part of the review of the National Evaluation Policy Framework, inputs were made on improving its gender responsiveness;
• The need for targeted GEWE evaluations across the NES; and
• The need for the inclusion of relevant GEWE evaluation questions within evaluations across the system.

5.2.3 Institutionalisation of GRPBME

Critical to the effective development and institutionalization of GRPBMEA was the development of appropriate institutional arrangements and mechanisms for consultation, coordination and to drive implementation and provide the necessary support at an administrative level.

To address this, the DOW/ DWYPD established a high-level Inter-Departmental Steering Committee on gender responsive policy, research and GRPBMEA.

5.2.4 Engendering national policy and PME systems

At a system-wide level, various interventions were initiated to improve the gender-responsiveness of policy and PME systems as a whole, including the following:

• A study on the gender-responsiveness of national PME systems was undertaken by Twende Mbele through DPME, with detailed inputs from DOW;
• Based on the deliberations at the 62nd session of the United Nations Commission on the Status of Women, DOW developed a detailed report, undertook extensive stakeholder consultations and developed a programme of action based on policy priorities on gender equality and women’s empowerment, particularly regarding women and girls living in rural areas; and
• The DOW initiated the development of a Twenty-Five Year Review on the Status of Women and Gender Equality in South Africa. This serves as evidence to inform future GEWE policy priorities in the period 2019-2024 as well as GEWE interventions within sectoral policies.

5.2.5 Generation of gender-responsive data and evidence

The generation of gender-responsive data and evidence, including gender statistics, is an integral component of any successful GRPBME framework and system. To this end, the following has been undertaken:
• Conceptualisation and development of a CGIF was undertaken in 2018, including gendering SDGs, the NDP and integrating indicators from the African Gender Development Index (AGDI) and the SADC Gender and Development Protocol. The indicator framework, serves as a basis for government-wide planning instruments as well as for data collection and performance reporting in the country and for reporting on international obligations. The CGIF is being updated in line with the priorities of the sixth administration.

• Collection of gender-relevant data as part of the 25-year review and performance on SDGs, AU Agenda 2063, NDP and MTSF etc.

• Production of a South African Country Report on AGDI by CGE.

• Production of a report on South Africa’s progress in relation to the Solemn Declaration on Gender Equality in Africa.

5.3 Mainstreaming gender within existing planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation and auditing systems

The Gender-Responsive Planning Framework proposes the mainstreaming of gender across the country’s planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation systems. The key interventions in this regard are outlined in the table 2 below in the following key areas:

• Gender-responsive country planning and monitoring;
• Gender-responsive institutional planning;
• Gender-responsive policy priorities;
• Gender-responsive evaluation, knowledge and evidence;
• Gender-responsive monitoring and auditing;
• Gender-responsive budgeting;
• Improving the gender-responsiveness of other related systems;
• Gender-responsive legislation; and
• Gender-responsive performance management.

While departments such as DPME, DOW/DWYPD and National Treasury are indicated as the lead departments, all Departments and public entities have an obligation to implement gender-responsive planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation and gender auditing.
Table 2: Gender Mainstreaming within Planning, Budgeting, Monitoring and Evaluation systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National PME component</th>
<th>Key interventions</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) Gender-responsive country planning and monitoring</td>
<td>• Mandate paper to include country gender policy priorities, based on evidence, including evaluation team report (ETR) etc. to inform budget allocations.</td>
<td>DPME, DOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandate paper</td>
<td>• Gendered revision of NDP in line with SDGs and South African gender priorities.</td>
<td>NPC, DOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDP</td>
<td>• Gendered revision of NDP in line with SDGs and South African gender priorities.</td>
<td>NPC, DOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDP Five-Year Implementation Plan</td>
<td>• The 2019-2024 implementation plan and MTSF to include gender policy priorities, outcomes, indicators and targets based on analysis of evidence, with mainstreamed and targeted gender outcomes and outputs.</td>
<td>DPME, DOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendered NDP monitoring</td>
<td>• Gendered analyses of programme of action data should be undertaken and every outcome performance report should include an analysis of gender performance undertaken jointly by prior to submission to FOSAD and Cabinet Clusters.</td>
<td>DPME, DOW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Integrated Planning Bill | • Mainstreaming gender throughout Planning Bill  
• Provision for roles of MOW & DOW                                                                                                                                                                                | DPME, DOW     |
| 25 year review on women’s empowerment and gender equality | • DOW coordination of 25-year review on status of women and gender equality since 1994 with a specific focus on 2014-2019  
• Performance 1994-2019 including programme performance and overall outcomes and development indicators  
• Diagnostic / Problem statement  
• Identification of gaps and priorities ahead  
• Inputs including Women’s Dialogues in various sectors                                                                                                                                                  | DOW           |
<p>| (ii) Gender-responsive institutional planning |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |               |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National PME component</th>
<th>Key interventions</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Short to medium term planning framework / regulations** | • Gender-mainstreaming in all institutional, sectoral, provincial and municipal plans and in implementation programmes, logframes etc.  
  • Gender analysis in situational analysis of plans  
  • 5-year and annual Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF) plans of every Department and public entity to include per programme:  
    • Mainstreamed gender indicators and targets  
    • Targeted gender intervention targets  
    • TIDs to indicate how data collection will be sex-disaggregated | DPME, DOW             |
| **Short-term plans/ APPs (annual plans)**   | • Gender analysis of APPs (first and second draft)  
  • Provision of feedback to Departments and entities | DPME, DOW             |
| **(iii) GENDER RESPONSIVE POLICY PRIORITIES** |                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                       |
| **Five-year gender policy priorities for 2019-2024** | • Five-year Gender Delivery Agreements (President-Ministers)  
  • Annual gender priorities  
  • Gender priorities integrated as part of Mandate Paper (Budget Prioritisation Framework)  
  • Informs budget bids and allocations of Depts & public entities  
  • Gender included in Budget Statement by Minister of Finance  
  • Gender priorities and targets integrated within Dept Medium and Short-term Plans (Strategic Plans/ Annual Performance Plans) | Presidency, DPME, DOW |
| **(iv) GENDER-RESPONSIVE EVALUATION, KNOWLEDGE AND EVIDENCE** |                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                       |
| **National Evaluation System and Policy**   | • Gender-responsive NEPF and gender perspective across evaluation cycle  
  • Gender-responsive NES evaluation improvement plan  
  • Gender-responsive guidelines and templates to be developed and GR revision of existing guidelines | DPME, DOW             |
<p>|                                            | <strong>Evaluation planning and budgeting</strong>                                                                                                                                                                           |                       |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National PME component</th>
<th>Key interventions</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                        | • National Evaluation Plans/ Provincial Evaluation Plans/ Departmental Evaluation Plans to include:  
  o Equitable resource allocation to gender; evaluation;  
  o Targeted gender evaluations;  
  o GR evaluation questions in each evaluation and  
  o Gender responsive analysis of concept notes and NEP proposals  
  **Commissioning and undertaking evaluations**  
  • Gender sensitive terms of references (TOR) and gender balance of evaluation teams  
  • Gender sensitive theory of change (TOC), causal theories and contextual analysis etc.  
  **Data collection and analysis**  
  • Sex-disaggregation  
  • Perspectives of women/ men  
  • Prevent hidden biases  
  • Development of gender-specific indicators  
  **Gender-responsive findings and recommendations**  
  • Gender-responsive recommendations including on programme performance, outcomes, sex-disaggregated data etc.  
  **Gender-responsive Improvement Plans**  
  Gender-responsive approach capacity building, professionalisation and institutionalization                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |      |
| **Statistics SA**       | • Collaboration on gender-mainstreaming within the country SDG and reporting systems incl. setting of gender indicators, data collection etc.  
  • Collaboration on development of Gender Indicator Framework  
  • Improving SDG indicators and data collection across SDGs  
  • Gender-sensitive questions across surveys  
  • Targeted surveys, including Time Use Survey                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Stats SA DOW DPME |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National PME component</th>
<th>Key interventions</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge Repository</td>
<td>• Collaboration on gender component of DPME Knowledge and Evidence Repository</td>
<td>DPME, DOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v) GENDER-RESPONSIVE MONITORING AND AUDITING</td>
<td></td>
<td>AG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing</td>
<td>• Gender-responsive auditing of Departmental plans and performance against predetermined objectives and gender indicators and targets • Gender audits of national, provincial and local government • Internal audit to include women’s empowerment and gender equality (WEGE) in audit plans</td>
<td>DPME, DOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontline Service Delivery Monitoring and</td>
<td>• Gendered assessments, interventions and improvement plans • Gender-sensitivity of services/sites etc. within rating system, incl. schools, health institutions, police stations, courts etc. • Prioritisation of women-specific service assessments</td>
<td>DPME, DOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen-Based Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Performance Assessment Tool</td>
<td>• Mainstreaming of gender and ratings across all KPAs • MPAT to be reviewed to ensure gender-responsiveness</td>
<td>DPME, DOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vi) GENDER-RESPONSIVE BUDGETING</td>
<td></td>
<td>NT, DOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Treasury Sequencing Align with</td>
<td>• Overall fiscal framework to incorporate WEGE considerations • Gender responsiveness to be addressed across the budget cycle and MTEF processes • Budget Guidelines to include requirement/incentives on gender-responsive allocations and programme bids Budget bids to demonstrate allocations for WEGEEstimates of National Expenditure (ENE) and Annual Estimate National Expenditure (AENE) to include sections on WEGE allocations per vote/ add guidelines on how to break it down / budget call circulars • Each vote to include gender indicators per programme (mainstreamed &amp; targeted)</td>
<td>NT, DOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>international standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National PME component</td>
<td>Key interventions</td>
<td>Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Allocations to key WEGE interventions to be included in Medium Term Budget Policy Statement (MTBPS) and National Budget Speech</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Gender to be included in National Treasury database</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Treasury regulations on gender to be issued</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Guidelines to be issued to Provincial Treasuries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(vii) IMPROVING GENDER-RESPONSIVENESS OF OTHER SYSTEMS

| International WEGE Reporting | • WEGE indicators arising from international obligations to be included in overall government PME frameworks and data collection systems | DOW, DPME, DIRCO |
| • Collaboration on international reporting | | |

| Phakisa | Phakisa prioritisation to include: | DPME, DOW |
| • Intervention which will impact on improved GEWE | | |
| • Mainstreaming of gender issues within other Phakisa projects | | |

| National and Provincial PME Forum | • Gender planning, monitoring and evaluation issues as standing item on agendas of PME Forums at national and provincial levels | DPME, DOW, OPs |

| FOSAD/ Presidency | • Strengthen provisions in cabinet memo template and Socio-Economic Impact Assessment System (SEIAs) relating to gender mainstreaming | Presidency, DPME, DOW |

| CGE | • Strengthen resourcing and role of CGE in relation to oversight, accountability and research | CGE |

| Parliament | • Strengthen role of Parliamentary Committee on Women | Parliament |
| • Strengthen overall oversight role of all Portfolio Committees and accountability of departments in relation to gender performance | | |

(viii) GENDER RESPONSIVE LEGISLATION

| Legislation | • PME Bill to include gender-responsive provisions | DPME, DOJ, NT |
| • Legislative review and reforms on existing and envisaged legislation to incorporate gender perspective | | |
### National PME component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key interventions</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Gender responsiveness of the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA), Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA) etc. to be considered (amendment/ Treasury Regulations)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (ix) PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• In addition to the Gender Delivery Agreements to be signed at a cabinet level, it is proposed that the existing Performance Management and Development System (PMDS) be refined to ensure the inclusion of gender deliverables in the Performance Agreements of Heads Of Departments, other senior management service members and public servants at all levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPSA, DOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Induction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Capacity building</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.4 Key role-players and coordination

The overall concept and approach positions DOW/DWYPD in the Presidency at the centre of the GRPBMEA initiatives, serving as the overall nerve centre, providing leadership and driving coordination of the interventions.

While the DOW is the central driver of the GRPBMEA framework and system development and implementation, the following key partners will play a crucial role in its successful development and implementation:

- The Presidency, DPME and National Treasury working in close collaboration with DOW
- Other key centre of government departments which have a key role to play include DPSA, Stats SA and COGTA
- All government departments and public entities have a role to play in system wide implementation.

Going forward, it should be acknowledged that an ad hoc approach will be both inefficient and slow. It is therefore proposed that a coordination structure be established within the Presidency to coordinate the key centre of government departments and divisions to ensure sustained engagement towards the mainstreaming of gender across the planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation and auditing system.
This should be made up of Directors General or Deputy Directors General and engage on a regular basis. This task team would complement the broader High-level Interdepartmental Gender Responsive Planning, Budgeting, Monitoring and Evaluation Steering Committee, which should meet on a monthly or bimonthly basis.

5.5 Key risks

Based on past experiences of GRB in South Africa, there is a relatively high risk that the system will not be implemented in a comprehensive and sustainable manner. Among the key risks that need to be mitigated include the following:

- Lack of political will, buy-in and institutionalization across political parties and Parliament;
- Lack of buy-in and institutionalization across state machinery;
- Lack of gender mainstreaming across state sector;
- Lack of resources and capacity for MOW/ DOW as overall engine and driver.

6. Conclusion

Almost 25 years into South Africa’s democracy, women’s empowerment and gender equality remains elusive. High levels of gender-based violence and other expressions of women’s subordination and gender inequality have resulted in a rising tide of discontent among women, especially young women.

Gender-responsive planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation provides a critical opportunity to drive performance on gender equality and the empowerment of women in South Africa and to reverse the gender recession. This is an imperative not just for the lives of women and girls but as a development accelerator and to improve the prosperity and wellbeing of South Africans as a whole.

To achieve this requires broad-based collaboration and support to ensure it becomes a reality. This requires support from the highest echelons of the state and close collaboration between DOW, DPME, National Treasury and other key government stakeholders.

Now is the time for gender-responsive delivery and results
GLOSSARY

GENDER refers to the social roles allocated respectively to women and to men in particular societies and at particular times. Such roles, and the differences between them, are conditioned by a variety of political, economic, ideological and cultural factors and are characterised in most societies by unequal power relations. Gender is distinguished from sex which is biologically determined (South Africa’s National Policy Framework for WEGE: 2000)

GENDER RESPONSIVE BUDGETING according to SADC Guidelines of Gender Responsive Budgeting:

- Is about allocating money for activities that eliminate gender barriers to public services and private sector investments.
- Aims at mainstreaming gender into public finance and economic policy, so that a country has general budgets that include a gender equality perspective. Therefore, gender responsive budgeting does NOT mean separate women’s budgets.
- Is the analysis of the impact of any form of public expenditure or method of raising revenues on women and girls as compared to men and boys. It also takes into account further categories of inequality such as age, religious or ethnic affiliation, marital status, wealth, or the place of residence (urban/rural, different provinces) etc.
- Is NOT about whether an equal amount is spent on women and men, but whether the spending is adequate to women’s and men’s needs.
- Comprises reprioritising expenditures and revenues, taking into account the different needs and priorities of women and men.
- Is NOT just about developing gender responsive budgets, but also tracking the implementation and impact of the various budgetary allocations that were meant to address the different needs of women and men, and assessing their impact on gender equality.
- Acknowledges the relevance of unpaid work, especially care work that is usually disregarded in national accounting systems and the Gross Domestic Product (GDP).
- Does NOT only focus on the content of budgets and related policy, but also on the underlying budgetary (policy) processes. Therefore, it analyses the degree of inclusiveness, openness of policy-making and budgetary translation. It encourages the involvement of actors both inside and outside government.

GENDER MAINSTREAMING: Refers to a process that is goal oriented. It recognises that most institutions consciously and unconsciously serve the interests of men and encourages institutions to adopt a gender perspective in transforming
themselves. It promotes the full participation of women in decision-making so that women's needs move from the margins to the centre of development planning and resource allocation (South Africa's National Policy Framework for WEGE: 2000).

Gender Equity: Refers to the fair and just distribution of all means of opportunities and resources between women and men (South Africa's National Policy Framework for WEGE: 2000).

**GENDER EQUALITY:** Refers to a situation where women and men have equal conditions for realizing their full human rights and potential; are able to contribute equally to national political, economic, social and cultural development; and benefit equally from the results. Gender Equality entails that the underlying causes of discrimination are systematically identified and removed in order to give women and men equal opportunities. The concept of Gender Equality, as used in this policy framework, takes into account women's existing subordinate positions within social relations and aims at the restructuring of society so as to eradicate male domination. Therefore, equality is understood to include both formal equality and substantive equality; not merely simple equality to men (South Africa's National Policy Framework for WEGE: 2000).

**GENDER RESPONSIVE:** Refers to a planning process in which programmes and policy actions are developed to deal with and counteract problems which arise out of socially constructed differences between women and men (South Africa's National Policy Framework for WEGE: 2000).

**ENGENDERING:** In Gender studies, engendering refers to the process of integrating gender considerations and concerns into words, action and assessment (South Africa's National Policy Framework for WEGE: 2000).

**EMPOWERMENT:** Refers to the process of "conscientisation" which builds critical analytical skills for an individual to gain self-confidence in order to take control of her or his life. Empowerment of women is an essential process in the transformation of gender relations because it addresses the structural and underlying causes of subordination and discrimination (South Africa's National Policy Framework for WEGE: 2000).

**GENDER PERSPECTIVE:** Refers to an approach in which the ultimate goal is to create equity and equality between women and men. Such an approach has a set of tools for and guidelines on how to identify the impact on development of the relations and roles of women and men (South Africa's National Policy Framework for WEGE: 2000).

**GENDER SENSITIVE:** Refers to the state of knowledge of the socially constructed differences between women and men, including differences in their needs, as well as
to the use of such knowledge to identify and understand the problems arising from such differences and to act purposefully to address them (South Africa's National Policy Framework for WEGE: 2000).
Annexure 1

Declaration of the Gender Responsive Planning and Budgeting Summit held on 29 and 30 November 2018 at the Birchwood Hotel, Gauteng

PREAMBLE

We, the delegates gathered at this Gender Responsive Planning and Budgeting Summit from 29-30 November 2018 held at the Birchwood Hotel and Conference Centre, Boksburg, Gauteng, comprising representatives from various government departments, provinces and public sector entities; the Commission for Gender Equality, NGOs and civil society in South Africa, both women and men, young and old, from urban, rural areas; from Ethiopia, Kenya, Mozambique, Rwanda and Uganda; as well as International Development Partners, especially UN Women.

NOTING THAT:

1. The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa guarantees the full and equal enjoyment of all rights and freedoms by people of all genders and the realisation of a non-sexist society.

2. Despite the notable strides made in women's empowerment and gender equality in the country since the advent of democracy in 1994, the majority of women and girls still suffer from multi-dimensional poverty, inequality and discrimination on the basis of sex and gender; multiple social problems such as gender-based violence and violence against women and girls, the high unemployment rate of women, especially young women, and the increasing feminization of poverty;

3. While women’s representation and participation in political, decision-making and leadership levels has demonstrably increased across the different sectors of the social fabric of South African life, it has not fundamentally resulted in transformation for women and girls in the country, and they continue to face political, social and economic exclusion;
4. Patriarchy, its practices and habits, remain entrenched in society and manifests itself in various and ever changing forms;

5. Although all women in South Africa experience gender discrimination, sexism; patriarchy, and institutionalised inequality, women do not comprise a homogenous group, and the deprivation and lived experiences of discrimination they face is also based on race, class, creed, religion, sexual orientation, geographic location, among other dimensions;

6. Women’s exclusion from the mainstream economy and lack of access to economic opportunities is underpinned by unequal ownership, access, control and management of productive resources including land, water and energy and finances;

7. Women’s unequal burden of unpaid care work and household responsibilities;

RECALLING:

8. The Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, 1995 emphasized the need for political commitment to make available human and financial resources for the empowerment of women and that funding had to be identified and mobilized from all sources and across all sectors to achieve the goals of gender equality and the empowerment of women, and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), and its General Recommendations, states that States have primary responsibility for promoting gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls and that gender mainstreaming and national machineries are necessary and play a critical role in the implementation of the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action.

9. The UN CSW 52nd Session, March 2008 (Agreed Conclusions) on “Financing for gender equality and the empowerment of women” urges Member States to ensure that public finance includes the process of gender responsive budgeting.

10. Sustainable Development Goal 5 particularly addresses the achievement of gender equality and empowerment of all women and girls. The Outcome Document adopted in 2015 noted that there is a need to significantly increase investments for gender equality and track public allocations for gender equality. Target 5.c in SGD 5 calls for the adoption and strengthening of sound policies and enforceable legislation for the promotion of gender equality and the empowerment of all women and girls at all levels. Indicator 5.c.1 specifically measures the percentage of countries with systems to track and make public allocations for gender equality and women’s empowerment. The Addis Ababa
Action Agenda, the financing framework for the SDGs, emphasises the importance of gender responsive planning and budgeting for achieving national gender equality objectives.

11. The AU Solemn Declaration on Gender Equality in Africa (2004) and the Optional Protocol to the African Charter on Human and People’s Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa (2003), known as the African Women’s Protocol, reinforces the articles outlined in CEDAW and the principles underpinning the Beijing Platform for Action on the need for adequate and appropriate funding for achieving women’s empowerment and gender equality in AU member states. Article 19 on the Right to Sustainable Development states that: “Women shall have the right to fully enjoy their right to sustainable development. In this connection, the States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to introduce the gender perspective in the national development planning procedures”.

12. The SADC Protocol explicitly calls for gender responsive budgeting. Article 15 on Economic Policies and Decision Making in the Protocol, section 2 calls on State Parties to: “ensure gender sensitive and responsive budgeting at the micro and macro levels, including tracking, monitoring and evaluation.”

ACKNOWLEDGING THAT:

13. Gender-responsive planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation and gender auditing is a critical country intervention to improve the quality of life of women and girls in South Africa.

14. The ultimate goal of gender budgeting is the achievement of gender equality and equity and the achievement of our constitutional vision of a non-sexist society.

15. Research has shown that investment in women’s empowerment and gender equality not only benefits women and girls but also stimulates inclusive economic growth and development in general.

16. South Africa has a well-developed government-wide planning, monitoring and evaluation and auditing systems as well as public finance and budgeting systems.

17. Gender responsive budgets are not necessarily separate budgets for women, but instead mainstream budgets that are planned, approved, executed, monitored and audited in a gender-sensitive way.

18. Previous efforts to implement gender-responsive budgeting in South Africa were not sustained as they were not sufficiently institutionalised across the state machinery, institutions of democracy and legislation.
19. The key role players in driving gender-responsive planning and budgeting include centre of government departments – the Department of Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation and the Department of Women in the Presidency and the National Treasury. However, all government Departments and public entities at national, provincial and local level have a responsibility to implement GRPB.

20. Parliament and legislatures have a central role to play in ensuring government is accountable for the implementation of gender-responsive planning and budgeting and the achievement of better outcomes for women and girls and gender equality.

21. Chapter 9 institutions, particularly the Commission for Gender Equality has a key role to play in holding public and private institutions accountable for gender equality results.

22. Civil society, community-based and non-governmental organisations have a direct interest in and important role to play in gender-responsive planning and budgeting systems.

**REMAIN CONCERNED THAT:**

23. Although the gap between policy commitments at the national level and action on the ground is well recognized, there have been limited resource allocations to close the gaps in support of commitments to advance women’s rights, empowerment and gender equality.

24. Despite the mounting body of evidence demonstrating that promotion of gender equality is a development driver, most government planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation instruments remain largely gender blind and public sector expenditures have not systematically addressed gender equality concerns.

25. Inadequate efforts have been made to integrate gender perspectives into the national budget and planning processes in order to better align policy commitments on gender equality with resource allocations. Only 7% of indicators in the 2014-2019 Medium Term Strategic Framework (MTSF) are gender responsive.

26. The lack of gender mainstreaming within planning, monitoring and evaluation and budgeting processes results in a lack of credible gender data to inform evidence-based performance and expenditure reviews.

27. These weaknesses result in insufficient resource allocations to support policies and programmes that promote gender equality and the empowerment of women. This includes under-funding of interventions to eradicate the scourge of gender-based violence and femicide in South Africa.
WE THEREFORE COMMIT ourselves to the following:


29. Urge all government Departments and public entities at national, provincial and local level to

   a. Institutionalise gender-responsive planning and budgeting systems, including within the Mandate Paper, Medium Term Strategic Plan, Strategic Plans, Annual Performance Plans and Budgets.
   b. Develop and implement gender-responsive monitoring, reporting and evaluation systems including regular performance and expenditure reviews on gender equality and women’s empowerment.

30. Urge parliament and provincial legislatures to include performance and expenditure on women’s empowerment and gender equality within their oversight functions, including at the level of oversight committees.

31. Continue to lobby for increased public investment in gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls, taking into account the diversity of needs and circumstances of women and girls and ensuring the necessary human, financial and material resources for specific and targeted activities to ensure gender equality.

32. Integrate a gender perspective into the design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation and reporting of all national policies, strategies and plans, in a coordinated manner across all policy areas and across sectors and spheres of government.

33. Ensure gender mainstreaming across public sector systems, including within the Performance Management and Development System (PMDS) and induction programmes and through the rollout of capacity building on gender mainstreaming and gender-responsive planning and budgeting through the National School of Governance.

34. Strengthen the capacity of the Department of Women in the Presidency to effectively lead, coordinate and monitor the implementation of the GRPMBEA and performance on women’s empowerment and gender equality.

35. Develop and implement a Country Gender Indicator Framework linked to global, continental, regional, national and sectoral policy frameworks for integration within planning, budgeting and monitoring instruments.
36. Improve, systematize and fund the collection, analysis and dissemination of sex-disaggregated and gender-related data, including data disaggregated by age and other factors and develop the necessary input, output and outcome indicators at all levels to measure progress on women’s empowerment and gender equality, including through the national statistical system administered by Statistics South Africa.

37. Ensuring that the voices of women and girls underpin gender-responsive planning, budgeting, monitoring, evaluation and auditing systems across sectors and spheres of government.

38. Join hands as government and civil society towards the realisation of our constitutional vision of a non-racial and non-sexist society in South Africa.