

**For the attention of: Ms Albertina Kakaza**

Mr Langa Zita  
Chairperson  
Portfolio Committee  
Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism  
Nuclear Energy Hearings

Dear Sir

**RE: NUCLEAR ENERGY IN SOUTH AFRICA**

The request from Parliament for written comment by the public on nuclear energy in South Africa has queried the impacts of this development on the following:

1. socio-economic
2. waste management
3. security of supply
4. human resource development
5. science and technology

I would also like to add:

6. environmental costs
7. health

**1. SOCIO ECONOMIC**

Firstly the socio-economic issue is one of grave concern for all South Africans. The economist, Professor Stephen Thomas was employed by the South African government to investigate nuclear energy and its impact on the economy as part of a panel of researchers. The official reports conducted as a result of this investigation have never been made known to the South African public, although they were presented to Cabinet and research was published in nuclear trade journals with a narrow readership.

Professor Thomas made his concerns about the financial implications of embarking on a nuclear programme very clear(1). He stated that in other countries of the world where nuclear reactors had been built, the huge costs of construction had been supported by private investors. He warned that financial institutions usually did not invest in nuclear development as it was seen to be too risky a venture.

Aside from the huge construction costs, there would also be huge decommissioning or cleaning up costs - and these were apparently not factored in to the South African programme. There would also be vast liability costs in the case of accidents that could result in deaths to labourers, as well as poisoning and deaths to a wide area around the reactor (2).

It is for this reason that the Cape Town courts are currently prohibiting building in an area 5km around Koeberg. This has huge economic implications for South Africa because wherever a nuclear reactor is built, other industries will suffer:

\*The tourism industry suffers, since no one wants to visit an area where radioactivity may be a concern for themselves or their children.

\*Agriculture suffers, since no one wants to buy food produce from an area where radioactivity could leach into water supplies or be released into the air and

\*Real Estate values plummet, so that the housing and other business development markets suffer. (3)

What this means is that the huge start up costs (currently stated to be R150 billion over five years by Eskom, although apparently rising every year) are added to decommissioning and liability costs as well as the losses to other sectors of the economy. (4)

The projected markets for nuclear energy may also not exist. (5) Most developing nations cannot afford to build nuclear reactors themselves and so would not embark on a nuclear programme. Many nations are also now concerned about global warming and the effects on the environment of destructive industries (and this includes nuclear reactors despite advertising to the contrary) and so energy that is sold or marketed as "green" has found instant buyers, whereas other "dirty" industries (including nuclear) cannot be endorsed by businesses as "green" and are not bought. This means that if South Africa embarks on a nuclear programme with the idea of exporting either reactors or energy, a market will be hard to find and this country will be left with yet another industrial "white elephant".

## **2. WASTE MANAGEMENT**

The second issue of waste management is also a major concern for all South Africans. Currently high level radioactive nuclear waste has been produced by overseas nations that has a lifespan of thousands of years - and there is nowhere to put this waste.(6)

According to a recent report a new spent nuclear fuel facility will have to be constructed: "In other countries that make use of nuclear energy, the cost of building such a waste facility has reached around R5-billion, says Necsa nuclear liability management divisional manager Dr Piet Bredell.

"Low- and medium-level radioactive nuclear waste from Koeberg is currently transported to the Vaalputs near-surface repository, in the Northern Cape, where it is buried in seven- to eight-metre- deep trenches. Higher-level waste, such as spent nuclear fuel, is kept in storage pools on site at Koeberg. Necsa's own waste is stored on site at Pelindaba. Low-level waste typically consists of gloves, clothes, paper and cleaning material, and medium-level waste of resins, filters and smaller components.

"It is planned that high-level waste from Koeberg and Pelindaba, and possibly other nuclear plants, will go to a "future high-level waste repository site", says Bredell. Such a deep geological repository is typically 500 m to a kilometre deep, and costs around R5-billion to develop. Bredell says South Africa is in the process of preparing legislation to establish a radioactive waste-management agency, as well as a waste fund, all before 2010. It is expected that the Bill regarding the agency will be before Parliament in March next year, while that on the fund will follow later.

"It will be the task of the radioactive wastemanagement agency to initiate the project to establish a deep-level disposal site for South Africa. The establishment of such a site is normally an extremely sensitive social issue, with Bredell noting that several similar initiatives failed abroad, as they were "wrongly initiated". "It can take up to 20 years to get a

site adopted.” One example of where this happened is the proposed Yucca mountain site, in the US. “We need to ensure the timely selection of a site for Eskom’s nuclear power station needs,” emphasises Bredell. Eskom Generation nuclear fuel procurement manager Hans Lensink says the power utility has a reference plan for its spent nuclear fuel, which includes not only disposal, but also reprocessing. Reprocessing nuclear fuel reduces the volume of waste, through the removal of plutonium and uranium. However, even reprocessing nuclear fuel leaves waste products in need of disposal at the end of the cycle”. (6)

When issues have arisen regarding waste spills, the Nuclear Energy Corporation of South Africa (Necsa) has refused to make the information known to the public. This lack of accountability on the part - not only of Necsa, but also Eskom and the National Nuclear Regulator (NNR) have led the South African public to doubt whether they could ever trust in future transparent dealings with these bodies. (7)

Necsa requires environmental organizations that are operating on behalf of the public - like Earthlife Africa - to go to court in order to get information regarding public safety issues. This smacks not only of a lack of transparency, but also a deliberate attempt to hide the truth from the public.

Workers who have had complaints against Necsa regarding health compensation have also been forced to go to court and still have received no redress from the company. And yet research documents from the company and from the Department of Minerals and Energy (DME) clearly show the dangers of radiation to human beings.

This has been highly misleading for the South African public - nuclear energy has been advertised through the press in a public relations campaign as being both "green" and "safe" which it is obviously not. The waste that builds up through the uranium mining and milling process to the nuclear reactor itself and the spent fuel rods all comprises an extreme danger to the immediate community and the nation as a whole.

People have learned from the accident at Chernobyl that there are immediate deaths and a wide area of land, air and water polluted for years to come causing cancers and mutations in children and pregnant women and sterilization of men. Nuclear waste cannot just be "swept under the carpet" or buried out in the desert. It will eventually pile up and pose a disposal crisis. (8)

The United States and Europe have still not been able to find a site to locate a deep repository for high level waste since no one wants it in their backyard. They cannot store it at sea, or launch it into space. There is simply nowhere to put this waste. (9)

In this sense, nuclear energy is not sustainable since it requires 50 000 tonnes of uranium to fuel a reactor every year and the uranium supply will come to an end but the waste will not. (10) Even the waste that the reactor deems "low level" that is pumped back into the air and water systems has proven to be deadly to residents who live around the water sources.

Research has shown "cancer clusters" around dams where the community fish and swim, such as the Trawsfynydd Lake in Wales. Ex-Environment Minister Michael Meacher of the UK said these research findings were "a sensational development" and true health effects of radioactive discharges should be resolved before any commitment to new nuclear power stations was made. (11)

Unfortunately radioactivity cannot be seen or smelled, but as Chernobyl investigator Vladimir Chernousenko said: "It has a voice". This is the ticking of the geiger counter. **(8)**

The Nuclear Age Peace Foundation states: "The majority of high level radioactive waste produced comes from the fuel in the core of nuclear power reactors. Irradiated fuel is the most radioactive fuel on the planet and accounts for some 95% of radioactivity generated in the last 50 years from all sources, including nuclear weapons production. Once removed from the core, irradiated fuel is stored in cooling pools on the nuclear reactor site. Each 1000 megawatt nuclear power plant produces about 500 pounds of plutonium a year and about 30 metric tons of high-level radioactive waste". **(12)**

### **3. SECURITY OF SUPPLY**

According to the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation: "There have been repetitive problems with security, safety and environment impact in the nuclear industry. Radioactive contamination does not discriminate between national borders and nuclear power plants threaten the health and well-being of all surrounding environments.

Nuclear power plants produce extremely toxic radioactive wastes that are long-lived and have no safe means of disposal. Disposal is neither scientifically credible nor is there any sustainable options for interim storage. Producing long-lived radioactive wastes with no solution for its disposal will leave serious and irreversible environmental damage and degradation for generations to come, which is contrary to the principles of sustainability". **(12)**

Nuclear reactors obviously comprise one of the highest risk factors to any nation in terms of terrorist attacks or sabotage. The potential for devastation to an area from either of these factors, or even the purely human factor of error, is huge. Contrary to advertising by the pro-nuclear sector, there have been many accidents and spills in nuclear reactors over the past years, causing radioactive contamination to the community and spreading as far as contaminants have been carried. It is not possible for anyone to guarantee the safety of a nuclear reactor. **(13)**

Even in Russia - one of the countries that is proposing to trade nuclear science and technology with South Africa - there have been a number of accidents and the heightened security alert required by nuclear reactors has been impossible to maintain in terms of manpower and costs. **(14)**

Aside from the dangers to reactors themselves, there have been many documented cases of transport spills and accidents involving radioactive material such as uranium hexafluoride. **(15)** Since traffic accidents, sabotage and thefts from energy facilities are common in South Africa, this becomes a high risk factor.

The court case being enacted in South Africa at the moment - that certain people have wanted totally cut off from the media - is proof of the dangers of a nuclear programme. **(16)** The smuggling of nuclear weapons or weapons' material has put South Africa in the world spotlight as a nation where companies have been able to trade covertly with other nations. **(17)** The potential danger of nuclear arms smuggling only increases with the expansion of a nuclear programme - regardless as to whether this programme is intended to be for civil or defence reasons. There are always elements of society who will attempt to bribe and corrupt

in order to get hold of nuclear materials. This makes the country extremely vulnerable unless South Africa is willing to spend even more money on high alert security systems.

The environmental group Greenpeace showed how easy it was to break into Koeberg - South Africa's one existing nuclear facility - let alone ten, twenty or more reactors around the nation. (7) South Africa already struggles to protect electrical wiring and cables from sabotage and theft. South Africa could be put in a position of extreme risk either from planned theft and sabotage from foreign or neighbouring nations, or accidental interference by local communities, as has happened in other developing nations where the people are not aware of the dangers of radioactive materials.

The Nuclear Age Peace Foundation states: "The Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) was signed on July 1, 1968 and entered into force on March 5, 1970. Its initial duration was 25 years. In 1995 it was extended indefinitely, with a review conference to be held every five years. At the heart of the NPT is a central bargain in which the Non-Nuclear Weapons States (NNWS) agreed to refrain from acquiring nuclear weapons. In exchange the Nuclear Weapons States (NWS) pledged to end the nuclear arms race and to negotiate nuclear disarmament (Article VI). As an incentive, the NNWS were promised assistance with research, production and use of nuclear energy for "peaceful" purposes (Article IV). Each NNWS also agreed to accept "safeguards" under the auspices of the International Atomic Energy Agency. These safeguards do not apply to the NWS. The treaty defined a NWS as one that had manufactured and exploded a nuclear weapon or other nuclear explosive device prior to January 1, 1967. However, any country with a nuclear reactor can in theory produce a nuclear weapon.

"MOX, or mixed oxide plutonium, is an experimental fuel in which plutonium, usually from dismantled nuclear weapons, is mixed with uranium for use in commercial nuclear reactors. The MOX projects require transporting plutonium by rail, ship or truck. The use of plutonium MOX fuel creates serious security threats as the transportation of plutonium increases the possibilities for theft and/or diversion of plutonium.

"In a study conducted in 1999, the Nuclear Control Institute determined that a severe accident at a civilian reactor powered by plutonium or MOX fuel could cause twice as many fatal cancers as an identical accident at a reactor that uses uranium fuel. MOX plutonium fuel produces more radioactivity than does uranium fuel.

"The use of plutonium MOX fuel also greatly exacerbates the problem of storing and disposing high-level radioactive waste. The use of plutonium in a nuclear reactor will not get rid of plutonium, which is an impossible goal. The idea behind using MOX plutonium, rather, is to render it less approachable by terrorists or "states of concern" because it is so lethal". (12)

#### **4. HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT**

Unfortunately any people working in a nuclear reactor, or even in the uranium mines and mills that supply a reactor, are subject to ill-health unlike most other working environments. In Canada, primary cancers are regarded as an occupational health hazard of working in uranium mines. Research that has been conducted into cancers caused by uranium mines, the "milling" process and nuclear reactors is extensive. (18)

Since there is no cure for cancer, workers should not be subjected to this kind of "occupational health hazard". Necsa still refuses to compensate workers who have been affected by this, let alone an extended nuclear programme that would affect so many millions more. Once again the government will have to pay out health compensation and medical costs for years to come. There is no electricity supply that is worth the sacrifice of so many lives.

To consider sacrificing labour in this way is a form of "environmental racism" that has been widely experienced by the Navajo people in the United States who worked in uranium mines there. **(19)** It is devaluing and "writing off" a sector of the population as worthless. The human resources or labour that South Africa has to offer would be better off in alternative energy sectors such as solar, wind or tidal energy industries that would not comprise the kind of threat to themselves or their community that is posed by nuclear energy.

It is also sad that despite the fact that Necsa, the PBMR company, the DME and Department of Public Enterprises realises that South Africa does not have the atomic scientists available for this nuclear programme or manufacturing skills - and would have to import these scientists from Russia or elsewhere - they have chosen to forge ahead with a nuclear programme and throw millions of rand at this concept, instead of focusing funds on local skills and capacity. **(14)**

Statements by Necsa regarding nuclear energy have been contradictory. On the one hand, CEO Rob Adam has been quoted as saying to the media that PhDs do not come cheap and so they require the millions that government has been allocating each year. On the other hand, they persist with advertising the concept that "nuclear energy is cheap".

Adam has also been quoted as saying on the one hand that not just anyone can do rocket science in their backyard, but on the other hand he has stated that the average person can work in a nuclear plant. Perhaps he means that the CEOs and "rocket scientists" need high pay packages but the workers at the bottom do not. This is the usual pyramid of society with company directors bringing home the bacon while the majority of labourers live on the breadline. And perhaps this is the way society functions on many levels.

But in the nuclear industry, labourers are literally putting their lives on the line every day and may not realise the true cost to their lives and futures. For example, DME documents on radiation protection state that pregnant women must make their condition known immediately since radiation would harm the foetus. **(2)**

## **5. SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY**

Necsa has stated that South Africa would have to bring atomic scientists out of retirement in order to work on a nuclear programme. Alternately they would have to employ nuclear scientists from other countries, such as Russia. In either case, the outlook is not good for South Africans. In the first scenario, there is a case where nuclear reactors that are developed with elderly staff in charge would soon be left with under-qualified staff to manage and maintain them. In the second scenario, South Africa would be spending a great deal of money investing in foreign skills, instead of investing in local skills (such as the scientists who are able to develop solar energy programmes).

Eskom also wishes to build Pebble Bed Modular Reactors (PBMRs). **(20)** According to research from Earthlife Africa: "The proposal is that the fuel for these PBMRs be produced at

Pelindaba. There are fourteen thousand tons of radioactive weapons scrap metal at Pelindaba from decommissioned nuclear facilities. Necsa wants to smelt this waste and sell the metal on the open market, followed by commercialisation of the smelter process - this process is not international best practice, and could turn South Africa into the North's radioactive waste dumping ground. The proposed reactors, radioactive fuel plant, and the proposed radioactive waste smelter, will emit many kilograms of radioactive emissions into the air, water and soil every year. Pelindaba is located within two kilometres of a World Heritage Site, The Cradle of Humankind, and ten kilometres from the townships of Atteridgeville and Diepsloot.

"At full production, for all the planned reactors, there would be nine trucks carrying nuclear material, and 145 trucks carrying chemicals every day between Durban, Pelindaba and Koeberg for forty years. There is no doubt that radiation is harmful. Furthermore, the level of what is considered a "safe" dose has been lowered consistently, and now stands at a few percent of what was originally considered a "safe dose".

"One of the arguments for the PBMR is that South Africa will need massive amounts of new power. This will not be true for at least ten years. In this time, we will be able to install all of South Africa's power requirements using safe and clean Renewable Energy Technologies, which are available off the shelf, and can be installed within weeks. The planned nuclear reactors for South Africa will generate little electricity for so great an expenditure and carry with them hazardous consequences for hundreds of thousands of years".

### **ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS:**

On its website, Eskom claims that nuclear sites will be "revegetated" and environmentally restored after nuclear reactors are decommissioned. In reality in other areas of the world, this has not proved successful.

As an example, scientists have studied the area around Chernobyl to examine the health of the environment after this nuclear disaster. Douglas Birch, wrote for Associated Press: "In the journal *Biology Letters* in March, a group led by Anders Moller, from Pierre and Marie Curie University in Paris, said that in a study of 7,700 birds examined since 1991 they found 11 rare or unknown abnormalities in a population of Chernobyl's barn swallows. Roughly one-third of 248 Chernobyl nestlings studied were found to have ill-formed beaks, albino feathers, bent tail feathers and other malformations. Mousseau was a co-author of the report. In other studies, Mousseau - whose work is funded by the National Science Foundation and National Geographic Society - and his colleagues have found increased genetic damage, reduced reproductive rates and what he calls "dramatically" higher mortality rates for birds living near Chernobyl. The work suggests, he said, that Chernobyl is a "sink" where animals migrate but rapidly die off. Mousseau suspects that relatively low-level radiation reduces the level of antioxidants in the blood, which can lead to cell damage. "From every rock we turn over, we find consequences," he told the Associated Press in a phone interview. "These reports of wildlife flourishing in the area are completely anecdotal and have no scientific basis." (8)

The Nuclear Age Peace Foundation states that: "While electricity generated from nuclear power does not directly emit carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), the nuclear fuel cycle does release CO<sub>2</sub> during mining, fuel enrichment and plant construction. Uranium mining is one of the most CO<sub>2</sub> intensive industrial operations and as demand for uranium grows because of new electricity generation and new plant construction, CO<sub>2</sub> levels will also rise. (12)

"In a case study in Germany, the Oko-Institute determined that 34 grams of CO<sub>2</sub> are emitted per generated kilowatt (kWh). Other international research studies show much higher figures (up to 60 grams of CO<sub>2</sub> per kWh). In comparison to renewable energy, energy generated from nuclear power releases 4-5 times more CO<sub>2</sub> per unit of energy produced, taking into account the entire nuclear fuel cycle.

"US government regulations allow radioactive water to be released into the environment at "permissible" levels. Accurate accounting of all radioactive wastes released into the air, water and soil from the nuclear fuel cycle is simply not available. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission relies on self-reporting and computer modeling from reactor operators to track radioactive releases and project dispersions".

### **HEALTH:**

The Nuclear Age Peace Foundation states: "It has been scientifically established that low-level radiation damages tissues, cells, DNA and other vital molecules. Effects of low-level radiation doses cause cell death, genetic mutations, cancers, leukemia, birth defects, and reproductive, immune and endocrine system disorders. **(12)**

Radioactivity is measured in "curies." An average operating nuclear power reactor core has about 16 billion curies at its core, which is equivalent to the long-lived radioactivity of at least 1,000 Hiroshima bombs. In comparison, a large-sized medical center with as many as 1000 laboratories in which radioactive materials are used, has a combined inventory of about 2 curies".

Dr Helen Caldicott, Pediatrician and President of the Nuclear Policy Research Institute states: "The classic dictum in medicine states: If a disease is incurable, prevention is the only recourse. While the specter of global warming looms large with associated epidemics of arthropod-borne diseases and millions of ecological refugees escaping catastrophic meteorological conditions, nuclear power as an alternative energy has an equally dire prognosis. **(18)**

"Nuclear power is responsible for the emission of substantial quantities of global warming gases from each step of the nuclear fuel chain, and the medical consequences of nuclear power are equally catastrophic.

"Each nuclear reactor contains 1000 times more long-lived radiation than released by the Hiroshima bomb, in the form of 200 new biologically dangerous isotopes - some with minuscule half-lives and others with half-lives of 17 million years. This material - radioactive waste - must be isolated from the environment for geological time spans, a scientific and physical impossibility. Already radioactive isotopes are leaking into soil and water from nuclear waste repositories in many countries, and these isotopes bioconcentrate by orders of magnitude at each step of the food chain. Invisible and cryptogenic to the senses, these mutagenic radioactive materials will migrate to and concentrate in specific bodily organs - iodine 131 in the thyroid, cesium 137 in brain and muscle, strontium 90 in bone, and plutonium 239 (with a half-life of 24,400 years) in lung, liver, bone, fetus, and testicle. Ultimately, these radioisotopes will induce malignancy; however, because of the latent period of carcinogenesis, the cancers will not be diagnosed for many years.



"Over generations, radioisotopes in gonads will increase the incidence of genetic and chromosomal diseases. Animals and plants will be similarly affected. Nuclear power is therefore a fundamentally mutagenic industry that results in cancer with a transient byproduct - electricity generation. As such, nuclear power is medically contraindicated".

## **CONCLUSION**

Since the proposed nuclear programme affects all South Africans, regardless of the siting of nuclear reactors, it is vital that this issue should be addressed by all stakeholders at a summit meeting where the alternative energy resources offered by renewable and sustainable projects could be given the necessary time, research and opportunities afforded to the nuclear sector by large budget allocations. Nuclear energy has been advertised as sustainable, yet uranium is a finite source. A great deal of money will be spent on reactors that need large quantities of water and will run out of fuel, unlike solar, wind and tidal energy options that are truly sustainable and renewable. South Africa cannot afford to go backwards in scientific and social development, but must progress into a new "greener" future, where the health of the people and the environment on which they rely, is made a priority.

Yours faithfully

INGELA RICHARDSON

## **REFERENCES:**

**(1)The Economic Impact of the Proposed Demonstration Plant for the Pebble Bed Modular Reactor Design** By Steve Thomas, Senior Research Fellow, Public Service International Research Unit, University of Greenwich, UK, August 2005

**The economic risk to electricity consumers of the Pebble Bed Modular Reactor** by Steve Thomas, Senior Research Fellow, PSIRU, University of Greenwich, London, January 2006

**"Taxpayers will have to lie in the pebble bed government makes", Hilary Joffe, 22 May 2007**

**(2)Understanding Radioactivity & Radiation in Everyday Life**, Department of Minerals and Energy, South Africa, April 2005

**"Are you ready for meltdown?" From News24, Koeberg's emergency planning**

**(3)"The True Price of Nuclear Power, The Economic, Environmental and Social Impacts of the Nuclear Fuel Cycle"**, by Peter Bossew, Peter Bossew, Austria. Physicist, member of the Austrian Ecological Institute for Applied Environmental Research and the Institute for Gamma Ray Measurement, Vienna.

**"Koeberg property ban" , May 25, 2007, By Ronnie Morris, Cape Town - A ban by the National Nuclear Regulator (NNR) on property development within a 5km radius of the Koeberg nuclear power station was unreasonable, arbitrary and unlawful and ought to be reversed, the Cape high court was told yesterday.**

**"High-Level Atomic Waste Dump Targeted at Skull Valley Goshute Indian Reservation in Utah"**, "There is nothing moral about tempting a starving man with money." – Keith Lewis, of the Serpent River First Nation in Ontario, reflecting on his impoverished community's 50 years of working in and living near uranium mines & mills, and the health and environmental catastrophe that has resulted.

**(4)"Nuclear clean-up 'to cost £70bn' "**, BBC News, Business, 30 March 2006

**"Level of financial security to be provided"**, From Gazette 26327 of 7 May 2004, 3. The level of financial security to be provided by holders of nuclear installation licences in respect of each of the categories as determined in section 2 hereof, for the financial year 2004/5, shall be— (a) Category 1: R2.4 billion to be provided in such currency, which is acceptable to the Board of the National Nuclear Regulator. Where the financial security has been provided in a foreign currency and the exchange rate has resulted in the required level of financial security being devalued more than 15%, the holder of the nuclear installation licence must report it to the regulator within 10 days. (b) Category 2: R120 million (c) Category 3: R1 million

**(5) "Smell of money is turning investors green"** , February 27, 2007, By Gerard Wynn, Reuters

**"Households warm up to solar water heating plan "**, March 16, 2007, By Samantha Enslin, Business Day

**"Enterprising Chinese cash in on green wave "**, April 29, 2007, By Joe McDonald, Business Day

**"Green with Energy"**, Dr Garth Cambray, Science In Africa, June 2007

**(6)"As SA opts for nuclear, where to with the waste?"** Irma Venter, Engineering News, 6 Apr 2007

**"Cape Town Rejects Nuclear Waste,"** Xinhua News Agency, 9 April 2003, The City of Cape Town tells Eskom that it will not accept the toxic, high-level nuclear waste that would be produced by the pebble bed modular reactor (PBMR). Cape Town informed Eskom that it does not support the findings of the final environmental impact report for the modular reactor project and that Eskom must still formally apply for planning permission before any nuclear plant can be built. Cape Town has criticized the PBMR environmental impact assessment several times in the past; however, Eskom did not address the issues it raised

**"Nuclear Waste Pumped into S. Africa River Exceeds Legal Limit,"** Agence France Presse, 30 May 2000, The South African Nuclear Energy Corporation (NECSA) admits that its Pelindaba facility inadvertently discharged higher than allowed amounts of radioactive liquid material into the Crocodile River in the second quarter of 1999

**"Seven year old South African dump is already leaking":** Metal drums filled with low level waste and concrete drums filled with what the U.S. would consider high level nuclear waste have been leaking radioactivity for years at Vaalputs, the South African Energy Corporation's (AEC) storage site, according to a senior AEC official. **(Johannesburg Mail and Guardian, 7/10/97)**

**"South Africa: A radiation leak from the Vaalputs waste disposal site was probably caused by adverse weather conditions"**. Extreme cold and heavy rains could have caused hairline cracks in some of the concrete blocks containing the waste. (**BBC Monitoring Summary of World Broadcasts, 27 June**)

(7) **"Death threats, secrets and lies"**, by Shellee-Kim Gold , Biophile Issue 6, 14 July 2006

**"Koeberg is hotbed of disease - activists"**, by Mzolisi Witbooi, Cape Argus, October 2006

**"Dark cloud over SA's nuke plant ex-employees"**, by Melanie Gosling, Cape Times, October 10, 2006

**"A seal of approval"**, Carte Blanche, 3 December 2000, Victoria Cullinan: Thomas Fronte: 'I am out of a job because I wrote about safety lapses, and the reaction was not to solve the problem. The reaction of the employer was to change nothing and to keep silent about this problem.'

(8) **Chernobyl Environment**, June 8 2007, Douglas Birch, Associated Press

**"Poison Fire, Sacred Earth", testimonies, lectures, conclusions at the World Uranium Hearing, Salzburg 1992:** pages 21-24 , Vladimir Chernousenko, CIS. Physicist, scientific co-ordinator of the clean-up in Chernobyl. Matome Patrick Malatji, South Africa. Itereleng Educational Project, Phalaborwa, South Africa, pages 182-184, James Garret, Lakota Nation, Degree in Environmental Law, Director for Environmental Affairs of the Cheyenne River Reservation in 1992, Thomas Banyacya, Sr, Hopi Nation, Arizona, Hopi Elder, interpretor for the Kikmongwis (Hopi spiritual leaders), "Beyond Nukes, The Promise of Renewable Energy", by Dr Bill Keepin, "The True Price of Nuclear Power, The Economic, Environmental and Social Impacts of the Nuclear Fuel Cycle", by Peter Bossew, Prof Ryspek A. Ibraev, Geologist, geochemist, leader of the Inter-faculty Laboratory of the Kasakh State University, head of the Independent Public Expert Council of Radioecology of Kazakhstan, Cleophas Mutjavikua, Secretary-General of the Mineworkers' Union of Namibia, Matome Patrick Malatji, Itereleng Educational Project, Phalaborwa, South Africa, Strongman Mpangana, Health and safety officer of the National Union of Mineworkers of South Africa (NUM) , Gernadij Grushevoi, Co-founder of the Foundation for the Children of Chernobyl, Irina Grushevaya, Medical doctor, co-founder of the Foundation for the Children of Chernobyl, Nikolaj Ostrogs kij, Head of an aviary clean-up task-force in Chernobyl, Andres Illan, Member of a clean-up task-force in Chernobyl, Vladimir Nechunaev, Member of the Estonian Chernobyl Committee

**"The Declaration of Salzburg"**, Chronical of The World Uranium Hearing Society

(9) **"Yucca Mountain: No Place for Nuclear Waste"**, Faye Brown, Honor the Earth, 2641, Kevin Kamps, Nuclear Information & Resource Service, [kevin@nirs.org](mailto:kevin@nirs.org), [www.nirs.org](http://www.nirs.org) , Corbin Harney, Shundahai Network, 5007 Elmhurst Lane, Las Vegas, NV 89108-1304; ph. 702.647.3095, [shundahai@shundahai.org](mailto:shundahai@shundahai.org)

**"Fate of Nuclear Waste Dump Unclear"**, October 16, 2001, Environmental Justice Foundation, Anne Sward Hansen

(10) **On Infinitely Dangerous Grounds** , Nostromo Research, 15th August 2005

(11) **"Cancer Cluster", UK television channel S4C , 2006** - the results of a survey from around Trawsfynydd nuclear power station in Wales in the United Kingdom. A questionnaire was given to nearly a thousand people of all ages around the closed-down power plant. The questionnaire asked about cancer in each household from 1996 – 2005 and showed levels of cancer which ex-Environment Minister Michael Meacher said were "sensational".

(12) **"Nuclear Energy, The Basics"**, 1998-2007, Nuclear Age Peace Foundation

(13) **"Koeberg Vulnerable from the Sea: W Cape Premier,"** Financial Times Information, South African Press Association, 30 August 2002, During a recent protest, Greenpeace activists approached the plant on inflatable boats and climbed over the wall of the nuclear plant and onto a roof where they unfurled a green banner reading "Nukes out of Africa" before they were arrested by police.

(14) **"Russia, S Africa to Boost Cooperation,"** ITAR-TASS News Agency, 19 July 2002, During a visit to Russia, South African Foreign Minister Nkosazana Dlamini-Zuma meets with her Russian counterpart Igor Ivanov to discuss nuclear nonproliferation and the fight against international terrorism. Also on the agenda for discussion are exports of South African farm produce to Russia and Russian exports of technologies to South Africa.

(15) **Uranium hexafluoride accidents: UF6 truck involved in accident near Paducah (Kentucky) AP Jan. 4 / Jan. 5, 2007, UF6 truck overturns on highway in southeastern Montana: Billings Gazette Oct. 17, 2003; NRC Morning Report Oct. 20, 2003, UF6 truck crashes on A1 in the Netherlands: (De Twentsche Courant Tubantia May 21/22, 2003) , Truck with uranium hexafluoride cylinders wrecked in accident (Tennessee): (Jason Bolling, USEC Inc.), Truck With "Empty" UF6 Cylinders Involved In Traffic Accident (Ohio): (The Columbus Dispatch June 10, 2000), UF6 rail cars derailed at Portsmouth, Ohio: (U.S. NRC Weekly Information Report For the Week Ending June 11, 1999), Truck With Empty UF6 Cylinders Involved In Traffic Accident (Chicago), Truck Carrying Four Uranium Hexafluoride Cylinders In Accident (Iowa)**

**"Stranded Ship Aground Off Stormy Cape Coast with Uranium Onboard,"** Deutsche Presse-Agentur, 21 August 2003, A U.S. cargo ship carrying 1,037 containers, including 50 tons of uranium ore, runs aground off the coast of Cape Town. The uranium ore is en route from South Africa to the United States for processing. Nuclear Fuel Corporation (Nufco) states that the uranium ore is packed in high integrity drums that would require a "fairly catastrophic" event to cause a spill

**"Pelindaba gets warning signs",** 29 April, 2005, Independent Online

**"Ranger mine shut down after workers drank contaminated water and took uranium showers",** The Age May 19, 2004)

**"Protest on expansion of Olympic Dam mine ground water use",** Advertiser Oct. 27, 2005

**"South Australia to investigate bird deaths at tailings dam of Olympic Dam mine",** Australian Jan. 11, 2005

(16) **"Court rejects nuclear trial blackout",** 25 May 2007, Sapa

**(17) "South Africa Denies Providing Iraq with Nuclear Material,"** Panafrican News Agency (PANA) Daily Newswire, 10 October 2002, Britain's The Spectator and the U.S. Insight on the News both claimed that South Africa was selling Iraq aluminum tubes to help Baghdad develop nuclear weapons

**"The Middleman"**, Mark Schapiro, March 30, 2005: Asher Karni was "a genius" in South Africa's military electronics trade. Now he's in jail in Brooklyn, accused of orchestrating a nuclear black market deal.

**(18) George Lundberg, MD, Editor of *MedGenMed*, [glundberg@medscape.net](mailto:glundberg@medscape.net)**

**Helen Caldicott, MD, Founder; President, Nuclear Policy Research Institute, College Park, Maryland, [caldicott@nuclearpolicy.org](mailto:caldicott@nuclearpolicy.org)**

**Radiation Protection and Dosimetry, Radiation Science and the Law: Experience in Relation to Childhood Leukemia in the vicinity of Sellafield, UK.** Professor Steve Jones, Chief Executive Westlakes Scientific Consulting

**Assessment of the Dose from Contaminated Surfaces via Various Exposure Pathways, G.P. de Beer , PelRad, Atomic Energy Corporation of SA Ltd.**

**Assessment of Radiological Dose Due to Incremental Radioactivity Increases in Cleared Former Mine Land, R Strydom, PARC Scientific PO Box 154 IFAFI 0260 South Africa, J Constantine, Berkely Nuclear Services PO Box 147 Broederstroom 0240 , P de Vries, RMP Properties Services Ltd Box 27 Crown Mines 2025**

**Radiation Doses to South African Underground Mine Workers, P Hinrichsen, S van der Woude, C Hofmeyer , Council for Nuclear Safety**

**Validation of the Electret Radon Monitor as an Underground Radon Dosimeter, J.C. Botha , Radiation Protection Specialist, Anglogold.**

**Radiation Dosimetry Services at BNFL's Sellafield Site, United Kingdom, Dr Rex Strong, BNFL**

**Low-Level Radiation , The Effects on Human and Non-Human Life , Lecture by Dr. Alice Stewart , Dr. Alice Stewart, Great Britain. Medical doctor, Professor for Social Medicine, expert on low-level radiation, Alternative Nobel Prize.**

**"Lung cancer risk among German male uranium miners: a cohort study", 1946-1998, by B Grosche, M Kreuzer, M Kreisheimer, M Schnelzer and A Tschense, British Journal of Cancer, 17 October 2006**

**"Leukemia and exposure to ionizing radiation among German uranium miners", by M Möhner, M Lindtner, H Otten, HG Gille; American Journal of Industrial Medicine, Vol. 49, No. 4, April 2006, p. 238-248**

**"Leukaemia Among Czech Uranium Miners", by L. Tomášek, I. Malátová, in: Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Health Effects of Incorporated Radionuclides Emphasis on Radium, Thorium, Uranium and their Daughter Products -**

HEIR 2004, GSF-National Research Center for Environment and Health, Neuherberg, Germany, Nov 29 - Dec 1, 2004, U. Oeh, P. Roth, H.G. Paretzke (Editors), Institut für Strahlenschutz, GSF-Bericht 06/05, p. 128-135

"Long-term persistence of chromosome aberrations observed in Hungarian uranium miners": Results obtained from former Hungarian uranium underground miners suggest that chromosome aberrations caused during active mine work can persist for years after the occupational exposure ceased. by G Mészáros, G Bognár, GJ Köteles, in: *Journal of Occupational Health* Vol. 46, No. 4 (July 2004), p. 310-315.

"Chromosomal aberrations in uranium and coal miners", by G. Wolf, D. Arndt, N. Kotschy-Lang, G. Obe;  
*International Journal of Radiation Biology*, February 2004, Vol. 80, No. 2, p. 147-153

"Increased risk of cancer in radon-exposed miners with elevated frequency of chromosomal aberrations",  
by Smerhovsky Z, Landa K, Rössner P, et al., *Mutation Research* 2002, Feb 15, Vol. 514, No. 1-2, p. 165-176

"A cytogenetic analysis of the long-term effect of uranium mining on peripheral lymphocytes using the micronucleus-centromere assay", by Kryscio A, Ulrich Müller WU, Wojcik A, Kotschy N, Grobelny S, Streffer C. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, November 2001; Vol.77, No.11, p. 1087-1093

"Chronic diffuse interstitial fibrosis of the lung in uranium miners", Archer VE et al: *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, Vol. 40, No. 5, May 1998 May, p.460-474

"Ethnic differences in the prevalence of nonmalignant respiratory disease among uranium miners", Mapel, DW; Coultas, DB; James, DS; et al., in: *American Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 87, 1997, No. 5 (May), p.833-838

"Reported Excess of Chromosomal Aberrations in Blood Lymphocytes of Namibian Uranium Miners", A Study to Verify, Lloyd, D. C., Lucas, J. N., Edwards, A. A., Deng, W., Valente, E., Hone, P. A. and Moquet, J. E.: *Radiation Research* Vol. 155 No. 6 (June 2001), p.807-817, ISSN 0033-7587

"Unexpected rates of chromosomal instabilities and alterations of hormone levels in Namibian uranium miners", Zaire, R; Notter, M; Riedel, W; Thiel, E: in: *Radiation Research* Vol. 147, 1997, No. 5 (May); p.579-584

"Analysis of lymphocytes from uranium mineworkers in Namibia for chromosomal damage using Fluorescence in-situ Hybridization (FISH)", Zaire,R; Griffin,C S; Simpson,P J; et al., *Mutation Research-Genetic Toxicology* Vol. 371, 1996, No.1-2, p.109-113

"Determination of uranium excretion, gonadal damage and chromosomal alterations among uranium miners in Namibia", Zaire,R; Notter,M; Riedel,W; Thiel,E: in: *Blood* Vol. 86, 1995, No.10, p.153

**"New Model for Assessment of Lung Cancer Incidence with Uranium Miners", by Peter Diehl: Sources: [1] W.Jacobi, K.Henrichs, D.Barclay: Verursachungs-Wahrscheinlichkeit von Lungenkrebs durch die berufliche Strahlenexposition von Uran-Bergarbeitern der WISMUT AG, [Probability of causation for lung cancer due to the occupational radiation exposure of uranium miners of WISMUT AG], 67 pages in German, GSF-Bericht S-14/92, Neuherberg 1992. Order address: Forschungszentrum für Umwelt und Gesundheit GmbH, Neuherberg, Ingolstädter Landstraße 1, D-85764 Oberschleißheim, Germany. Tel. +49-89-3187-4011, Fax: +49-89-3187-3323**

**"Probability of causation for lung cancer after exposure to radon progeny: a comparison of models and data", Chmelevsky,D. et al. in: *Health Physics* Vol.67, No.1, July 1994, p.15-23**

**"Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Alternative Strategies for the Long-Term Management and Use of Depleted Uranium Hexafluoride", DOE-EIS-0269, U.S. DOE, Germantown MD, April 1999**

**"Interim Guidance for the Safe Transport of Reprocessed Uranium", IAEA-TECDOC-750, IAEA, Vienna, June 1994**

**"Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection", Publication 60, Oxford 1991**

**"Underground Radiation Studies and Observations in the Jabiluka Ore Access Drive", by Mark J Sonter, Australian Radiation Protection Society, 2000**

**"Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation, United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation", UNSCEAR 1993 Report to the General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes, New York, 1993, 922 p.**

**DOE Standard - Guide of Good Practices for Occupational Radiological Protection in Uranium Facilities, August 2000, US DOE**

**(19) "The Peoples Summit on High-Level Radioactive Waste", Wesleyan University Middletown, Connecticut, April 12-14, 2002 , INDIGENOUS ENVIRONMENTAL NETWORK, Indigenous Anti-Nuclear Statement: Yucca Mountain and Private Fuel Storage at Skull Valley, Citizens Awareness Network**

**(20) Earthlife Africa resources**

**"IAEA Cautions on PBMR Safety", Nuclear Engineering International, 31 July 2000, Members of the IAEA's International Nuclear Safety Advisory Group (INSAG) recommend a full review of the safety features of the Pebble Bed Modular Reactor (PBMR)**